
Vol. 3 of 5 FEP-02/457/2013/C Central Kowloon Route Yau Ma Tei East Contract No. HY/2014/08 November 2021



AM by mh.leung am\Drawing\Use 26-Sep-19 11:47:42 S:\6. Drauahtina Te 5 Printed





Environmental Permit No. EP-457/2013/D

Central Kowloon Route

Independent Environmental Checker Verification

Works Contract:		Yau Ma Tei East (HY/2014/08)		

Reference Document/Plan

Document/Plan to be-Certified/ Verified:	Monthly EM&A Report No.44 (November 2021)
Date of Report:	13 December 2021 (Rev.1)
Date received by IEC:	13 December 2021

Reference EP Condition

Environmental Permit Condition:

Submission of Monthly EM&A Report of the Project

3.4 Four hard copies and one electronic copy of monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of each reporting month throughout the entire construction period. The EM&A Reports shall include a summary of all non-compliance. The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as complying with the requirements as set out in the EM&A Manual before submission to the Director. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided to the Director upon request by the Director.

3.4

IEC Verification

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced condition of EP-457/2013/D.

Mandy 20.

Ms Mandy To Independent Environmental Checker Date:

13 December 2021

Our ref: 0436942_IEC Verification Cert_YMTE_Monthly EM&A Rpt No.44.docx



Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited

Unit C, 11/F, Ford Glory Plaza, No. 37-39 Wing Hong Street, Cheung Sha Wan Kowloon, Hong Kong Tel.: (852) 2698 6833 Fax.: (852) 2333 1316

Build King – SK ecoplant Joint Venture

Central Kowloon Route Contract HY/2014/08

Section of Yau Ma Tei East

Monthly EM&A Report No. 44

(Period from 1 to 30 November 2021)

Rev. 1

(13 December 2021)

	Name	Signature
Prepared by	Katrina K.S. Chui (Assistant Environmental Consultant)	fil
Checked & Reviewed by	Sally H. S. Mok (Environmental Consultant)	MAS.
Approved & Certified by	Kevin W. M. Li (Environmental Team Leader)	K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.	BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION	7
2.	Environmental Status	. 10
3.	MONITORING RESULTS	. 12
4.	SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS	. 20
5.	EM&A SITE INSPECTION	. 22
6.	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	. 23
7.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	. 25

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A. Alignment and Works Area for the Contract No. HY/2014/08
- B. Construction Programme
- C. Project Organization Chart
- D. Dust Event-Action Plan (EAP)
- E. Noise Event-Action Plan (EAP)
- F. Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)
- G. Monitoring Schedules of the Reporting Month
- H. Calibration Certificate (Air Monitoring)
- I. Calibration Certificate (Noise)
- J. The Certification of Laboratory with HOKLAS Accredited Analytical Tests
- K. Location Plan of Noise and Air Quality Monitoring Station
- L. Monitoring Data (Air Monitoring)
- M. Monitoring Data (Noise)
- N. Waste Flow Table
- O. Statistics on Complaint, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions
- P. Monitoring Schedule of the Coming Month
- Q. Interim Report for the Complaint

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- A.1 Build King SK ecoplant Joint Venture ("Contractor") commenced the construction works of Highway Department (HyD) Central Kowloon Route Contract No. HY/2014/08 – Section of Yau Ma Tei East ("The Project") on 20 April 2018. This is the 44th monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) report presenting the EM&A works carried out during the period from 1 November 2021 to 30 November 2021.
- A.2 A summary of the construction works reported by Main Contractor for the Project during the reporting month is listed below.

Construction Activities undertaken

- Pile Piling, Underpinning of existing pile cap & tam grouting at Yau Ma Tei Police Station (YMTPS)
- Construction of D-wall panels (31 panels) at Zone B
- Site formation, predrilling, remedial works for land contamination, grout curtain wall & guide wall & D-wall at Zone B
- Construction of Stage 3 D-wall panels, soil improvement works, sheet piling at Zone C
- Guide wall, D-wall and pipe piles at Zone D
- Diversion of DN900 Sewer Pipes (ELS, Excavation and Pipe Laying) along Kansu Street at Zone F/B3
- Predrilling, pipe piles & divert DN450 watermain & DN1800 Drainage at Zone G
- ELS, Excavation and Construction of Pad Footing, Piers and Portal Frame for Reprovision of Gascoigne Road Flyover (RGRF)
- CLP Cable Diversion, demolition of subway/ backfill & predrilling at Zone D
- Construct Bridge Deck including Form Traveller Assembly and Trial Panel Construction for RGRF
- A.3 A summary of regular construction noise and construction dust monitoring activities in this reporting period is listed below:

Regular construction noise monitoring during normal working hours

Construction dust (24-hour TSP) mo	nitoring
W-A1	5 times
W-A6	5 times

Construction dust (1-hour TSP) monitoring

W-N1A, W-P11, W-N18, W-N25A

W-A1, W-A6

15 times

5 times

- A.4 Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures was conducted on 4 and 18 November 2021. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 5.
- A.5 Joint weekly site inspections were conducted by representatives of Environmental Team (ET), Contractor and Engineer on 4, 11, 18 and 25 November 2021. One joint site inspection with IEC was also undertaken on 11 November 2021. Details of the audit findings and implementation status are presented in Section 5.

- A.6 Details of waste management are presented in Section 3.
- A.7 One Action Level of construction noise was triggered during the reporting period as one documented complaint was received on 25 November 2021 related to construction noise from works areas at Gascoigne Road Flyover(GRF). No exceedance of Limit Level of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP was recorded during the reporting month.
- A.8 One noise-related complaint was received on 25 November 2021. After investigation with Contractor, precautionary measure had been proposed to the Contractor by ET. The interim report for the complaint is shown in Appendix Q.
- A.9 No non-compliance was reported in the reporting month.
- A.10 No notification of summon or prosecution was received in this reporting month.
- A.11 A summary of the construction activities provided by Main Contractor in the next reporting month is listed below:

Construction Activities to be undertaken

- Removal of bulk head wall and remaining waling & struts at Zone A
- Excavation Works including installation of waling/strut to Tunnel Roof Slab Level and lagging wall construction at Zone B EB1/WB1.
- Continue D-wall construction and construction of pipe piles in lieu of D-wall at Zone B EB2.
- Construction the last D-wall panel P214A at Zone C, Tam Grouting between Zones B2 & C1, install king posts, excavate and construct temporary buttress wall at Zones C1/C2 (P40-P43) & C3 and strengthen the joints of HDPE pipes at C3.
- Tam grouting and underpinning of existing pile caps at YMTPS New Wing.
- Construction of P3L transfer beam, P4L/P4R pier, D-wall, utilities diversion works, buttress wall and installation of king posts at Zone D.
- Complete DN900 sewer diversion commence slewing of CLP Cable (11kV & LV) away from existing footpath and 750mm storm drainage diversion along Kansu Street at Zone B3 and F.
- Continue for diversion work of DN1800 drainage (stage 1) such as install king posts, drive sheet piles (2nd stage) and excavation and install waling/strut at Zone G.
- Works for RGRF at HKAA area: complete reinstatement works, continue construction of temporary traffic deck (Part A), construct temporary deck P8L end-span and falsework erection for Portal Frame construction crossing over P7L and P7R.
- Bridge Works:
 - i. Excavation and construction of pile cap for P6L and Pier P6L construction. Continue construction of P5L bridge deck segments
 - ii. Commence pier construction for P1L pier and P4L.
 - iii. Construction of pile cap for P6L and pier P6L.
- Continue socket H-piling works for middle / east foundation and west side of Zone 3 F02 Noise Enclosure. Carry out pile load test (1 tensile and 1 compressive) and continue ELS works for pile cap construction for middle foundation for F02 Noise Enclosure.
- Continue parapet modification works for Noise Enclosure at Zone 3 on the bridge deck of FSF.
- Works at Zone 2 Noise Enclosure scheduled as following:
- i. Column E Permanent socket H-piling works;

Construction Activities to be undertaken

- ii. Column G Utilities diversion works;
- iii. Column D Temporary socket H-piling works;
- iv. Column A Trial pit excavation and predrilling works
- Monitoring of instrumentation for all areas.

1. BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

- 1.1. Central Kowloon Route (CKR) is a 4.7 km long dual 3-lane trunk road in Central Kowloon linking Yau Ma Tei Interchange in West Kowloon with the road network on Kai Tak Development and Kowloon Bay in East Kowloon.
- 1.2. The Central Kowloon Route Design and Construction Environmental Impact Assessment Report (Register No.: AEIAR-171/2013) was approved with conditions by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 11 July 2013. An Environmental Permit (EP 457/2013) was issued on 9 August 2013. Variations of EP (VEP) was applied for and the EP (EP-457/2013/C) was issued by EPD on 16 January 2017. Variations of EP (VEP) was subsequently applied for and the latest EP (EP-457/2013/D) was issued by EPD on 15 June 2021. A Further EP (FEP-03/457/2013/D) was issued by EPD on 5 November 2021.
- 1.3. The construction of the CKR had been divided into different sections. This Contract No. HY/2014/08 Section of Yau Ma Tei East (YMTE) covers part of the construction activities located at Yau Ma Tei under the EP and FEP which includes:
 - Section of Yau Ma Tei East
 - i. Construction of Cut-and-Cover Tunnel in compliance with all statutory requirements and the requirements specified under the Contract while maintaining the traffic with all necessary provisions
 - ii. Construction and subsequent handover of Yau Ma Tei Access Shaft for facilitating the access and use by the contractor of Central Kowloon Route Central Tunnel contract
 - Demolition of existing buildings including Yau Ma Tei Multi-storey Carpark Building, Yau Ma Tei Specialist Clinic Extension Building and Yau Ma Tei Jade Hawker Bazaars
 - iv. Demolition and re-provisioning of Gascoigne Road Flyover(GRF) and the underpinning works for the existing Ferry Street Flyover and Yau Ma Tei Police Station New Wing Building
 - v. Construction of civil provisions and coordination with the contractor of Central Kowloon Route Tunnel Electrical & Mechanical contract
 - vi. Design and construction of Noise Barrier Works
 - vii. Prepare TTA proposals, discuss at TMLG meeting and obtain its agreement and approval/ endorsement from relevant authorities at suitable times to enable the execution of the Works

The alignment and works area for the Contract No. HY/2014/08 - are shown in Appendix A.

1.4. A summary of the major construction activities undertaken in this reporting period is shown in Table 1.1. The construction programme is presented in Appendix B.

Table 1.1 Summary of the Construction Activities reported by Main Contractor during the Reporting Month

Construction Activities undertaken

- Pile Piling, Underpinning of existing pile cap & tam grouting at YMTPS
- Construction of D-wall panels (31 panels) at Zone B
- Site formation, predrilling, remedial works for land contamination, grout curtain wall & guide wall & D-wall at Zone B
- Construction of Stage 3 D-wall panels, soil improvement works, sheet piling at Zone C
- Guide wall, D-wall and pipe piles at Zone D
- Diversion of DN900 Sewer Pipes (ELS, Excavation and Pipe Laying) along Kansu Street at Zone F/B3
- Predrilling, pipe piles & divert DN450 watermain & DN1800 Drainage at Zone G
- ELS, Excavation and Construction of Pad Footing, Piers and Portal Frame for RGRF
- CLP Cable Diversion, demolition of subway/ backfill & predrilling at Zone D
- Construct Bridge Deck including Form Traveller Assembly and Trial Panel Construction for RGRF
 - 1.5. The project organisational chart specifying management structure and contact details are shown in Appendix C.
 - 1.6. A summary of the valid permits, licences, and /or notifications on environmental protection for this Project is presented in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2 Summary of the Status of Valid Environmental Licence

Permit/ Licences/ Valid Period					
Permit/ Licences/	Valid	Period			
Notification	From	То	Status	Remark	
/Reference No.	Tiom	10			
Environmental Permit					
EP-457/2013/D	15 Jun 2021	End of Project	Valid	-	
Further Environmental l	Permit				
FEP-02/457/2013/C	5 Mar 2018	End of Project	Superseded by		
TEF-02/457/2015/C	5 Iviai 2018	Life of Floject	FEP-03/457/2013/D		
FEP-03/457/2013/D	5 Nov 2021	End of Project	Valid from		
1/EI -03/437/2013/D	5 NOV 2021	End of Project	5 Nov 2021		
Wastewater Discharge Li	Wastewater Discharge License				
WT00030660-2018	28 Mar 2018	31 Mar 2023	Valid	-	
Notification of Construction Works under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation					
471691	14 Sep 2021	End of Project	Notified	-	
Chemical Waste Produce	r Registration				
WPN5213-225-B2526-01	14 Mar 2018	End of Project	Valid	-	
Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste					
70299971 Feb 2018End of ProjectValid		-			
Construction Noise Permit					
GW-RE0962-21	24 Sep 2021	23 Nov 2021	Valid until 23 Nov 2021	Construction Noise Permit at Shanghai Street for Bridge Works	

Notification, Permit and Documentations

Permit/ Licences/				
Notification /Reference No.	From	То	Status	Remark
GW-RE0764-21	12 Aug 2021	11 Nov 2021	Valid until 11 Nov 2021	Construction Noise Permit at Jade Hawker Bazaar Building
GW-RE1171-21	25 Nov 2021	22 Apr 2022	Valid from 25 Nov 2021	Construction Noise Permit at Jade Hawker Bazaar Building
GW-RE1038-21	21 Oct 2021	20 Jan 2022	Valid	Construction Noise Permit for D-wall at Zone B2
GW-RE0980-21	12 Oct 2021	31 Dec 2021	Superseded by GW-RE1111-21	Construction Noise Permit at GRF for
GW-RE1111-21	15 Nov 2021	31 Jan 2022	Valid from 15 Nov 2021	Temporary Erection of Bridge Decking
GW-RE1094-21	2 Nov 2021	1 May 2022	Valid from 2 Nov 2021	Construction Noise Permit at Zone D & G
GW-RE1095-21	2 Nov 2021	1 May 2022	Valid from 2 Nov 2021	Construction Noise Permit at Multi-storey Carpark Building
GW-RE1093-21	2 Nov 2021	1 May 2022	Valid from 2 Nov 2021	Construction Noise Permit at Zone A & B
GW-RE0881-21	10 Sep 2021	9 Mar 2022	Valid	Construction Noise Permit at Zone 3
Marine Dumping Permit				
EP/MD/22-051	8 Sep 2021	7 Mar 2022	Valid	Type 1- Open Sea Disposal
EP/MD/22-068	12 Oct 2021	11 Nov 2021	Valid until 11 Nov 2021	Type 1- Open Sea Disposal (Dedicated Site) & Type 2 -
EP/MD/22-077	17 Nov 2021	16 Dec 2021	Valid from 17 Nov 2021	Confined Marine Disposal)

2. ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

2.1. Environmental permit (EP) conditions under the EIAO, submission status under the EP and implementation status of mitigation measures had been reviewed and implemented on schedule. The status of required submissions under the EP (EP-457/2013/D) and FEP (FEP-02/457/2013/C) as of the reporting period for the Project are summarised in Table 2.1

Table 2.1 Summary	of Status of Required	Submission for	· EP-457/2013/D and
ruore 2.1 Summary	of blutub of flequined	buominosion ioi	\mathbf{L}

EP/FEP Condition (EP-457/2013/D)	Submission	Submission date			
(FEP-02/457/2013/C)					
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report (Oct 2021)	12 Nov 2021			

FEP-02/457/2013/C for the Project

2.2. Details of the major construction activities reported by Main Contractor in this reporting period are shown in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Summary of the Construction Activities reported by Main Contractor during the

Co	nstruction activities undertaken	Remarks on progress
•	Pile Piling, Underpinning of existing pile cap & tam grouting at YMTPS	•82% completion
•	Construction of D-wall panels at Zone B	•98% completion
•	Site formation, predrilling, remedial works for land contamination, grout curtain wall & guide wall & D-wall at Zone B	•80% completion
•	Construction of Stage 3 D-wall panels, soil improvement works, sheet piling at Zone C	•97% completion
•	Guide wall, D-wall and pipe piles at Zone D	•82% completion
•	Diversion of DN900 Sewer Pipes (ELS, Excavation and Pipe Laying) along Kansu Street at Zone F/B3	•79% completion
•	Predrilling, pipe piles & divert DN450 watermain & DN1800 Drainage at Zone G	•52% completion
•	ELS, Excavation and Construction of Pad Footing, Piers and Portal Frame for RGRF	•83% completion
•	CLP Cable Diversion, demolition of subway/ backfill & predrilling at Zone D	•73% completion
•	Construct Bridge Deck including Form Traveller Assembly and Trial Panel Construction for RGRF	•65% completion

2.3. The drawing showing the the project layout and the location of the monitoring station and environmental sensitive receivers are attached in Appendix A and Appendix K. Co-ordinates of the monitoring location are shown in Table 2.3.

Reporting	Month
Reporting	wonun

Monitoring Location	Location ID	Latitude	Longitude
Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)	nary School (Hoi W-A1/W-N1A		114.16409
Man Cheong Building	W-A6	22.308185	114.166033
Hydan Place W-N18		22.30858	114.170185
Prosperous Garden Block 1	W-N25A	22.309846	114.168072
The Coronation Tower 1	W-P11	22.309824	114.165616

Table 2.3 Summary for the location of the monitoring station

3. MONITORING RESULTS

3.1. Monitoring Parameters

Air Quality

- 3.1.1. The impact monitoring had been carried out in accordance with section 5.8 of the approved EM&A Manual to determine the 1-hour and 24-hour total suspended particulates (TSP) levels at the monitoring locations in the reporting month.
- 3.1.2. The sampling frequency of at least once in every 6 days, shall be strictly observed at the monitoring stations for 24-hour TSP monitoring. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, the sampling frequency of at least 3 times in every 6 days should be undertaken when the highest dust impact occurs.
- 3.1.3. General meteorological conditions (wind speed, direction and precipitation) and notes regarding any significant adjacent dust producing sources had also been recorded throughout the impact monitoring period.

<u>Noise</u>

- 3.1.4. Construction noise level shall be measured in terms of the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}). Leq (30min) shall be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700 and 1900 hours on normal weekdays.
- 3.1.5. For all other time periods, Leq (5min) shall be employed for comparison with the Noise Control Ordinance (NCO) criteria.
- 3.1.6. As supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L_{10} and L_{90} shall also be obtained for reference.
- 3.2. Monitoring Equipment

Air Quality

- 3.2.1. 1-hour TSP levels and 24-hour TSP had been measured with direct reading dust meter and High Volume Samplers respectively. It has been demonstrated its capability in achieving comparable results with high volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50).
- 3.2.2. The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument was checked before and after each monitoring event. Operation of the 1-hour TSP meter followed manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual. The 24-hour TSP meter was calibrated against firmware 80570-8100-V1.0.4, annually. Operation of the 24-hour TSP meter followed manufacturer's Operation and Service Manual. Valid calibration certificates of dust monitoring equipment are attached in Appendix H.
- 3.2.3. A summary of the equipment that was deployed for the 24- hour averaged monitoring is shown in Table 3.1. The TSP monitoring was conducted as per the schedule presented in Appendix G.

3.2.4. The equipment used for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP measurement and calibration are summarised in Table 3.1

Monitoring Parameter	Monitoring Equipment	Serial Number	Date of Calibration	
1 hour TCD	LD-5R Digital Dust Indicator	992821	19 Sep 2021	
1-hour TSP	LD-5R Digital Dust Indicator	851819	1 Jul 2021	
24-hour TSP	TE-5170X High Volume	1084	26 Oct 2021 and 13	
	Sampler		Nov 2021	
	TE-5170X High Volume	1050	26 Oct 2021 and 13	
	Sampler		Nov 2021	
	TE-5028A Calibration Kit		3 Aug 2021	

Table 3.1 Construction Dust Monitoring Equipment

<u>Noise</u>

- 3.2.5. Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications has been used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter has been checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed and other metrological data has been recorded from Hong Kong Observatory- King's Park meteorological station, along with portable wind speed meter stand by as back up when the information are not available from HKO.
- 3.2.6. Acoustic calibrators and sound level meters using for the monitoring is within the valid period and were calibrated per year. Valid calibration certificate of noise monitoring equipment is attached in Appendix I.
- 3.2.7. The details of equipment using for monitoring are listed in Table 3.2, as below:

Monitoring Equipment	Serial Number	Date of Calibration
Nti XL2 Sound Level Meter	A2A-13661-E0	23 Sep 2021
Nti XL2 Sound Level Meter	A2A-13548-E0	12 Dec 2020
Scarlet ST-120 Sound Level	200504747	31 Dec 2020
Calibrator		
Rion NC-74 Sound Level	34504770	17 Nov 2020
Calibrator		
Pulsar 105 Acoustic Calibrator	63705	7 Aug 2021

Table 3.2 Monitoring Equipment Used in Monitoring

3.3. Monitoring Methodology and QA/QC results

Air Quality

3.3.1. The 1-hour TSP monitor, portable dust meters (Sibata Digital Dust Indicator Model LD-5R) was used for the impact monitoring. The 1-hour TSP meters provides a real time 1hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. Three 1-hour TSP level were logged per every six days.

- 3.3.2. The 24-hour TSP monitor, High Volume Samplers (Tisch TE-5170X High Volume Air Sampler) were used for the impact monitoring. The 24-hour TSP monitoring consists of the following:
 - The HVS was set at the monitoring location, with electricity supply connected and secured;
 - HVS was calibrated before commencing the 1st measurement;
 - The filter paper was weight and provided by HOKLAS lab (Acumen Laboratory and Testing Limited and ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) before and after the sampling. Certificate of HOKLAS accredited laboratory can be referred to Appendix J;
 - The airflow over time during sampling process was recorded by the HVS.
- 3.3.3. HVSs were free-standing with no obstruction. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS:
 - Appropriate support to secure the samples against gusty wind needed to be provided the monitoring station;
 - A minimum of 2m separation from walls, parapets and penthouses was required for rooftop samplers;
 - No furnace or incinerator flues was nearby;
 - Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted; and
 - Permission could be obtained to set up the samplers and gain access to the monitoring station.
- 3.3.4. Preparation of Filter Papers
 - Glass fiber filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected;
 - ◆ All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25°C and not varied by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH)was 40%; and
 - Acumen Laboratory and Testing Limited and ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Limited, as HOKLAS accredited laboratory, implemented comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes on the filters.
- 3.3.5. Field Monitoring
 - The power supply was checked to ensure that the HVS was working properly;
 - The filter holder and area surrounding the filter were cleaned;
 - The filter holder was removed by loosening the foul bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully;
 - The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter;
 - The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges;
 - The shelter lid was closed and secured with an aluminum strip;
 - The HVS was warmed- up for about 5 minutes to establish run- temperature conditions;

- A new flow rate record sheet was inserted into the flow recorder;
- ◆ The flow rates of the HVS was checked and adjusted to between 0.64-1.52m³min⁻¹, which was within the range specified in the EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6- 1.7m³min⁻¹);
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours, and the starting time, weather condition and filter number were recorded;
- The initial elapsed time was recorded;
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact;
- The filter paper was placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed; all monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet and
- The filters were sent to (Acumen Laboratory and Testing Ltd and ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) for analysis.
- 3.3.6. Maintenance and Calibration
 - The HVS and their accessories were maintained in a good working condition. For example, motor brushes were replaced routinely and electrical wiring was checked to ensure a continuous power supply; and
 - ◆ The flow rate of each HVS with mass flow controller was calibrated using an orifice calibrator, Initial calibrations of the dust monitoring equipment were conducted upon installation and prior to commissioning. Five- point calibration was carried out for HVS using TE-5025 Calibration Kit. HVS is calibrated bimonthly. The calibration records for the HVS is given in Appendix H.
- 3.3.7. Wind Data Monitoring
 - The wind speed has been recorded from Hong Kong Observatory- King's Park meteorological station, along with portable wind speed meter stand by as back up when the information are not available from HKO.

<u>Noise</u>

- 3.3.8. All noise measurements by the meter were set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}) in decibels dB(A). $L_{Aeq(30min)}$ was used as the monitoring metric for the time period between 0700 –1900 hours on normal weekdays. The measured noise levels were logged every 5 minutes throughout the monitoring period.
- 3.3.9. Prior to the noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter was checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Checking was conducted before and after the monitoring. The calibration level before and after the noise measurement is agreed to within 1.0 dB(A).
- 3.3.10. Noise measurements should not be made in presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 ms⁻¹ or wind with gusts exceeding 10 ms⁻¹. The wind speed was checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring with speeds in ms⁻¹.

3.4. Monitoring Locations

<u>Air Quality</u>

3.4.1. During the site visit, both of the original proposed dust monitoring locations were rejected due to the condition at The Coronation was not favorable for monitoring and the access was declined by the management office of Hong Kong Community College (HKCC) of PolyU. Two alternative air monitoring stations Yau Ma Tel Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) and Man Cheong Building had been proposed by ET and approved by IEC. 2 designated air monitoring locations were identified and agreed with IEC and EPD. Details of air monitoring stations are described in Table 3.3. The location plan of air quality monitoring stations is shown in Appendix K.

Table 3.3 Location of the Dust Monitoring Stations	
----------------------------------------------------	--

Air Quality Monitoring Station	Dust Monitoring Station
W-A1	Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)
W-A6	Man Cheong Building

<u>Noise</u>

3.4.2. During the site visit, one of the original proposed noise monitoring locations Tak Cheong Building was rejected by the president of the owner's corporation. Alternative noise monitoring station Hydan place had been proposed by ET and approved by IEC. 4 noise sensitive receivers designated noise monitoring locations were identified and agreed with IEC and EPD. The designated monitoring stations are identified and access was granted by the premises. The details of noise monitoring stations are described in Table 3.4 and the location plan of noise monitoring station is shown in Appendix K.

Noise Monitoring Station	Identified Noise Monitoring Station	Type of Measurement	
W-N1A	Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road)	Façade	
W-N18	Hydan Place	Façade	
W-N25A	Prosperous Garden Block 1	Façade	
W-P11	The Coronation Tower 1	Façade	

 Table 3.4 Noise Monitoring Stations

- 3.5. Monitoring date, time, frequency and duration
- 3.5.1. A summary of impact monitoring duration, sampling parameter and frequency is presented in Table 3.5.

Impact Monitoring	Duration	Sampling Parameter	Frequency	
Dust	1-hour continuous measurement	1-hour TSP	3 times per six days	
Dust	24-hour continuous sampling	24-hour TSP	Once per six days	
Noise	30-minute continuous measurement	$L_{eq 30 min}$, L_{10} and L_{90} as reference.	Once per week (0700 – 1900)	

Table 3.5 Summary of Impact Monitoring Programme

3.6. Result Summary

Air Quality

3.6.1. According to our field observations, the major dust source identified at the designated air quality monitoring stations in the reporting month are summarised in Table 3.6.

Table 3.6 O	Observation at	Dust Monitor	ing Stations
-------------	----------------	---------------------	--------------

Monitoring Station	Major Dust Source
W-A1	Nearby traffic
W-A6	Nearby traffic

- 3.6.2. Air quality impact monitoring for the reporting month was carried out on 2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021.
- 3.6.3. The results for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP are summarized in Table 3.7 and Table 3.8. The measurement data and details of influencing factors such as weather conditions and site observation are presented in Appendix L.

Monitoring Location Range(µg/m ³)		Action Level(µg/m3)	Limit Level(µg/m3)	
W-A1	45-68	319	500	
W-A6	50-73	306	500	
Ta	ble 3.8 Summary of 24-ho	our TSP Monitoring Result	S	
Monitoring Location	Range(µg/m ³)	Action Level(µg/m3)	Limit Level(µg/m3)	
W-A1	W-A1 36-67		260	
W-A6	48-93	166	260	
Noise				

Table 3.7 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results

3.6.4. According to our field observations, the major noise source identified at the designated noise monitoring station in the reporting month are summarised in Table 3.9:

Monitoring Station	Major Noise Source			
W-N1A	Nearby traffic			
W-N18	Nearby traffic			
W-N25A	Nearby traffic			
W-P11	Nearby traffic			

Table 3.9 Observation at Noise Monitoring Stations

- 3.6.5. The construction noise impact monitoring for the reporting month was carried out on 2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021.
- 3.6.6. The result for noise monitoring is summarized in Table 3.10. The measurement data are shown in Appendix M.

Time	Monitoring	Parameter		Range, dB(A)			
Period location			Leq	L10	L90	Action Level	Limit Level#
Normal working hour from 0700-1900	W-N1A		59.1-61.3	61.8-63.4	56.8-60.6		70dB(A) or 65 dB(A) during examination
	W-N18	Leq	68.7-71.2	73.2-74.0	65.9-68.3	When one documented complaint is received	
	W-N25A	30min	69.8-73.1	72.8-74.4	64.6-71.6		75dB(A)#
	W-P11		68.3-69.5	70.4-72.9	65.2-66.8		

Table 3.10 Summary of Noise Monitoring Results

Remarks: 1. # If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

2. Examination was held from 18/11 to 23/11 at W-N1A so the limit level on 19/11 was 65 dB(A). Limit levels for other monitoring days were 70 dB(A).

Waste management

3.6.7. The waste generated from this Project includes inert C&D materials, and non-inert C&D materials. Non-inert C&D materials are made up of general refuse, vegetative wastes and recyclable wastes such as plastics and paper/cardboard packaging waste. Steel materials generated from the project are also grouped into non-inert C&D materials as the materials were not disposed of with other inert C&D materials. With reference to relevant handling records and trip tickets of this Project, the quantities of different types of waste generated in the reporting month are summarised in Table 3.11. Details of cumulative waste management data are presented as a waste flow table in Appendix N.

			U	Juantity	5	
				Non-inert C&	D Materials	
			Others, e.g.	Recy	ycled material	S
Reporting period	Inert C&D Materials (in 'tonnes)	Chemical Waste (in'000 Kg)	General Refuse disposed at	Paper/card board (in '000 Kg)		Metals (in '000 Kg)
Nov-2021	9737.7	0.0	20.4	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 3.11 Quantities of waste generated from the Project

4. SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS

4.1. The Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure is shown in below Table 4.1:

Table 4.1 Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure						
Complaint Received via Project Hotline	Complaint Received via 1823 or from other government departments					
Contractor notify ER, ET and IEC	ER notify Contractor, ET and IEC					
Contractor log complaint and date of receipt onto the complaint database. Contractor, ER and ET to conduct investigation of complaint						
If complaint is considered not valid	If complaint is found valid					
ET or ER to reply the complainant if necessary	Contractor to identify and implement remedia measures in consultation with the IEC, ET and ER.					
	The ER, ET and IEC to review the effectiveness					
	of the Contractor's remedial measures and the					
	updated situation; ET to undertake additional					
	monitoring and audit to verify the situation if					
	necessary, and oversee that circumstances leading					
	to the complaint do not recur. ER to conduct					
	further inspection as necessary.					
If the complaint is referred by the EPD, the Co	ntractor to prepare interim report on the status of the					
complaint investigation and follow-up actions stipulated above, including the details of the remedial						
measures and additional monitoring identified or already taken, for submission to EPD within the						
time frame assigned by the EPD						
The ET to record the details of the complaint, results of the investigation, subsequent actions taken to						
address the complaint and updated situation including the effectiveness of the remedial measures,						
supported by regular and additional monitoring results in the monthly EM&A reports						

Table 4.1 Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure

- 4.2. Should non-compliance of the criteria occur, action in accordance with the Event and Action Plan in Appendix D and Appendix E shall be carried out.
- 4.3. One Action Level of construction noise was triggered during the reporting period as one documented complaint was received on 25 November 2021 related to construction noise from works areas at Gascoigne Road Flyover(GRF). No exceedance of Limit Level of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP was recorded during the reporting month.
- 4.4. One noise-related complaint was received on 25 November 2021. After investigation with Contractor, precautionary measure had been proposed to the Contractor by ET. The interim report for the complaint is shown in Appendix Q.
- 4.5. No non-compliance was reported in the reporting month.
- 4.6. No notification of summons and prosecution was received in the reporting period.
- 4.7. Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarized in Appendix O.

5. EM&A SITE INSPECTION

- 5.1. Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures under the Contract. In the reporting period, four (4) site inspections were carried out on 4, 11, 18 and 25 November 2021, along with bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures conducted on 4 and 18 November 2021.
- 5.2. One joint site inspection with IEC also undertaken on 11 November 2021. Minor deficiencies were observed during weekly site inspection. Key observations during the site inspections are summarized in Table 5.1.

Date	Environmental Observations	Follow-up Status		
4 November 2021	 The NRMM label for excavator at Zone 3 west portion was missing. 	1. The NRMM label for excavator had been posted at Zone 3 west portion.		
11 November 2021	No major observation was found during site inspection.	-		
18 November 2021	No major observation was found during site inspection.	-		
25 November 2021	 The chemical labels and drip trays for chemical containers at Zone 3 and Zone B3 were missing. The noise barrier at Zone B2 should be extended. 	 The chemical containers with labels had been placed into drip tray and chemical storage at Zone 3 and Zone B3. The noise barrier at Zone B2 had been extended. 		

Table 5.1 Site Observations

- 5.3. The Contractor had rectified all observation identified during environmental site inspection in the reporting period.
- 5.4. According to the EIA Study Report, Environmental Permit, contract documents and EM&A Manual, the mitigation measures detailed in the documents are implemented as much as practical during the reporting period. An updated Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) is provided in Appendix F.

6. **FUTURE KEY ISSUES**


- 6.1. The construction activities provided by Main Contractor in the next reporting month are:
- Removal of bulk head wall and remaining waling & struts at Zone A
- Excavation Works including installation of waling/strut to Tunnel Roof Slab Level and lagging wall construction at Zone B EB1/WB1.
- Continue D-wall construction and construction of pipe piles in lieu of D-wall at Zone B EB2.
- Construction the last D-wall panel P214A at Zone C, Tam Grouting between Zones B2 & C1, install king posts, excavate and construct temporary buttress wall at Zones C1/C2 (P40-P43) & C3 and strengthen the joints of HDPE pipes at C3.
- Tam grouting and underpinning of existing pile caps at YMTPS New Wing.
- Construction of P3L transfer beam, P4L/P4R pier, D-wall, utilities diversion works, buttress wall and installation of king posts at Zone D.
- Complete DN900 sewer diversion commence slewing of CLP Cable (11kV & LV) away from existing footpath and 750mm storm drainage diversion along Kansu Street at Zone B3 and F.
- Continue for diversion work of DN1800 drainage (stage 1) such as install king posts, drive sheet piles (2nd stage) and excavation and install waling/strut at Zone G.
- Works for RGRF at HKAA area: complete reinstatement works, continue construction of temporary traffic deck (Part A), construct temporary deck P8L end-span and falsework erection for Portal Frame construction crossing over P7L and P7R.
- Bridge Works:
 - i. Excavation and construction of pile cap for P6L and Pier P6L construction. Continue construction of P5L bridge deck segments
 - ii. Commence pier construction for P1L pier and P4L.
 - iii. Construction of pile cap for P6L and pier P6L.
- Continue socket H-piling works for middle / east foundation and west side of Zone 3 F02 Noise Enclosure. Carry out pile load test (1 tensile and 1 compressive) and continue ELS works for pile cap construction for middle foundation for F02 Noise Enclosure.
- Continue parapet modification works for Noise Enclosure at Zone 3 on the bridge deck of FSF.
- Works at Zone 2 Noise Enclosure scheduled as following:
 - i. Column E Permanent socket H-piling works;
 - ii. Column G Utilities diversion works;
 - iii. Column D Temporary socket H-piling works;
 - iv. Column A Trial pit excavation and predrilling works
- Monitoring of instrumentation for all areas.
- 6.2. Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with dust, construction noise and waste management.

- 6.3. The tentative schedule of regular construction noise monitoring, 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring in the next reporting period is presented in Appendix P.
- 6.4. The construction programme for the Project for the next reporting month is presented in Appendix B.

7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7.1. This 44th monthly EM&A Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 1 November 2021 to 30 November 2021 in accordance with the EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP- 457/2013/D and FEP-03/457/2013/D.
- 7.2. Air quality (including 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and noise impact monitoring were carried out in the reporting period. No exceedance of the Action Level and Limit Level was recorded for construction noise and air quality impact monitoring during the reporting month.
- 7.3. Weekly environmental site inspections were conducted during the reporting period. Joint site inspection with IEC were carried out on 11 November 2021. Minor deficiency was observed during site inspection and was rectified within the specified deadlines. The environmental performance of the Project was therefore considered satisfactory.
- 7.4. One Action Levels of construction noise was triggered during the reporting period as one documented complaint was received on 25 November 2021 related to construction noise from works area at Gascoigne Road Flyover(GRF). No exceedance of Limit Level of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month. No exceedance of the Action and Limit Levels of 24-hour TSP and 1-hour TSP was recorded during the reporting month.
- 7.5. One noise-related complaint was received on 25 November 2021. After investigation with Contractor, precautionary measure had been proposed to the Contractor by ET. The interim report for the complaint is shown in Appendix Q.
- 7.6. No non-compliance was reported in the reporting month.
- 7.7. No notification of summons or prosecution was received in the reporting month.
- 7.8. The ET will keep track on the construction works to confirm compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.

Appendix A Alignment and Works Area For the Contract No. HY/2014/08




Acuity Sustainability Consulting Ltd.

Appendix B Construction Programme

Construction Programme								
Activity Name	Duration	Start	Finish	2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 SOND J FM AM J J A SOND J FM AM J J A				
HY/2014/08 Central Kowloom Route - Yau Ma Tei East	2990	17-Jan-18	25-Mar-26					
Construction Works	2990	17-Jan-18	25-Mar-26					
Femporary Traffire Management in Underground (Fertion 11 & 12)	1651	29-Sep-19	5-Apr-24					
Works on YMTSC Bit, and Construct Accessible Rimp and Refues Collection Area for Methadone Clinic	633	25-Dco-19	17-Sep-21					
Provision of Methodens Cilisio		17-Jan-18	13-Sep-21					
Works on Northean & Southern Parts of YMT Multi-Stoney Car Park Building	532	14-Sep-21	27-Feb-23					
Denultiion of Norflern Part of Bt. TheT Multi-Stoney Car Park Building	418	23-Jul-20	13-Sep-21					
Demolition of Southern Part of Fb:, TheT Multi-Stoney Can Park Building		27-Aug-22	3-Jan-23					
All Works within TMTSC, Maintenance Depot Area, Public Segure Soffman, St Rest Garden, Access Road	2426	17-Jan-18	7-Sep-24					
Preservation and Protection of Relating Trees	2510	17-Jan-18	30-Nov-24					
BataMishmeent Weaks	365	6-Feb-25	5-Feb-26					
All Works in Underground	1073	21-Jan-22	28-Dcc-24					
Completion of Noire Busiceure	1515	26-Ang-20	18-Oct-24					
All Remaining Works not Covered in Other Section	2437	6-Jun-18	5-Feb-25					
Construction of C&C Tunnel Ranhound	2263	17-Jan-18	28-Mar-24					
Construction of C&C Yunsel Westloand	2513	17-Jan-18	3-Dec-24	┛╵┿┿╅┿┿┿┿┿┿┿╋╋┿┿╋╋┿┿┿┿╋╋┿┿╋╋				
C&C Tunnel Works within Portion, 13 & 20A, Cul-do-see at Portion 203 & 24	1426	7-Apr-18	2-Mar-22					
ORP Reprovisioning	1603	16-Dec-19	5-May-24	▋				
Completion of Displarage Wills and Roof Stabs of C&C Tunnels within Pertion 27 and 28	1	18-Feb-22	18-Feb-22					

Appendix C Project Organization Chart

Project O-Chart



Appendix D Dust Event-Action Plan (EAP)

Contract No. HY/2014/08 Environmental Monitoring & Auditing

	ACTION						
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR			
ACTION LEV	EL						
1.Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method. 	1. Notify Contractor.	 Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate. 			
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform IEC and ER; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	 Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate. 			
LIMIT LEVEL							
1.Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform ER, Contractor and 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC 			

	ACTION			
EVENT	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
	 EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 	 Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	 within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

Note:

ET – Environmental Team

ER – Engineer's Representative

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker

Acuity Sustainability Consulting Ltd.

Appendix E Noise Event-Action Plan (EAP)

EVENT		ACTIO	DN	
	ЕТ	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action Level	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Notify IEC and Contractor; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	 Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented 	 Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; Implement noise mitigation proposals.
Limit Level	 Identify source; Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

EVENT	ACTION								
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR					
	and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results;		abated.						
	8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.								

Note:

ET – Environmental Team

IEC – Independent Environmental Checker

ER – Engineer's Representative

Appendix F Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
			Constru	ction Dust Impact				
S4.3.10	D1	The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	 APCO To control the dust impact To meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria 	 Implemented, deficiency rectified after observation.
S4.3.10	D2	 Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul road should be conducted to achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.3 L/m² to achieve the dust removal efficiency. 	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	 APCO To control the dust impact To meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria 	Implemented
\$4.3.10	D3	 Proper watering at exposed spoil should be undertaken throughout the construction phase; Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading; Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; A stockpile of dusty material should not be 	Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	 APCO To control the dust impact To meet HKAQO and TM-EIA criteria 	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 extended beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones; The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle. Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing. Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction period; The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
\$4.3.10	D6	 continuously; Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet; Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting; Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry-pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabilizer within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies. Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the construction stage. 	Monitoring of dust impact	Contractor	Selected rep. dust monitoring	Construction stage	• TM-EIA	• Implemented
			Construc	tion Noise (Airborn	station e)			

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S5.4.1	N1	 Implement the following good site practices: Only well-maintained plant should be operated onsite and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; Machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works; Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable; Material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilized, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. 	Control construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM- EIAO	• Implemented
S5.4.1	N2	Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the construction period.	Reduce the construction noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through partial screening	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM- EIAO	Implemented
S5.4.1	N3	Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure,	Sreen the noisy plant items to be used at all	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM- EIAO	 Implemented, deficiency rectified after observation.

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		screen the noisy plants including air compressors, generators and handheld breakers, etc.	sites					
S5.4.1	N4	Use 'Quiet plant'	Reduce the noise levels of plant items	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM- EIAO	Implemented
\$5.4.1	N5	Loading/ unloading activities should be carried out inside the full enclosure of mucking out points.	Reduce the noise levels of loading/ unloading activities	Contractor	Mucking out locations	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM- EIAO	Implemented
\$5.4.1	N6	Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Operate sequentially within the same work site to reduce the construction airborne noise	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	• Annex 5, TM- EIAO	Implemented
\$5.4.1	N7	Implement a noise monitoring programme under EM&A programme.	Monitor the construction noise levels at the selected representative locations	Contractor	Selected rep. noise monitoring station	Construction stage	• TM-EIAO	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S6.9.1.1	W1	 In accordance with the Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department, 1994 (ProPECC PN1/94), construction phase mitigation measures shall include the following: Construction Runoff At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system will be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction; The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates; The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/ sand traps should be 5 minutes under 	To minimize water quality impact from the construction site runoff and general construction activities	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	 Water Pollution Control Ordinance ProPECC PN 1/94 TM-EIAO TM-DSS 	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m3/s a sedimentation basin of 30 m3 would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m3/s the basin would be 150 m3. The detailed design of the sand/ silt traps shall be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction; All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means; The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic areas and access roads protected by coarse stone ballast. An additional advantage accruing from the use of crushed stone is the positive traction gained during prolonged periods of inclement weather and the reduction of surface sheet flows; All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas; Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities; Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m3 should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system; Manholes should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers; Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes; All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and site wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains; Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/ fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain; Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts; All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby; Adopt best management practices; All earth works should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. 						

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S6.9.1.2	W2	 Tunneling Works and Underground Works Cut-&-cover tunneling work should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable. Uncontaminated discharge should pass through sedimentation tanks prior to off-site discharge; The wastewater with a high concentration of SS should be treated (e.g. by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove the oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater; Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall) is not allowed. It should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) should be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities area completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. 	To minimize construction water quality impact from tunneling works	Contractor	All tunneling portion	Construction stage	 Water Pollution Control Ordinance ProPECC PN 1/94 TM-DSS TM-EIAO 	• Implemented
\$6.9.1.3	W3	 Sewage Effluent Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide 	To minimize water quality from sewage effluent	Contractor	All construction sites where practicable	Construction stage	 Water Pollution Control Ordinance TM-DSS 	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.						
\$6.9.1.5	W4	 Groundwater from Potential Contaminated Area: No direct discharge of groundwater from contaminated areas should be adopted. A discharge license under the WPCO through the Regional Office of EPD for groundwater discharge should be applied. Prior to the excavation works within these potentially contaminated areas, the groundwater quality should be reviewed during the process of discharge license application. The compliance to the Technical Memorandum on Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage on Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS) and the existence of prohibited substance should be confirmed. If the review results indicated that the groundwater to be generated from the excavation works would be contaminated, the contaminated groundwater should be either properly treated in compliance with the requirements of the TM-DSS or properly recharged into the ground. If wastewater treatment is deployed, the wastewater treatment unit shall deploy suitable treatment process (e.g. oil interceptor / activated carbon) to reduce the pollution level to an 	To minimize groundwater quality impact from contaminated area	Contractor	Excavation areas where contamination is found	Construction stage	 Water Pollution Control Ordinance TM-DSS TM-EIAO 	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 acceptable standard and remove any prohibited substances (e.g. TPH) to undetectable range. All treated effluent from wastewater treatment plant shall meet the requirements as stated in TM-DSS and should be discharged into the foul sewers. If groundwater recharging wells are deployed, recharging wells should be installed as appropriate for recharging the contaminated groundwater back into the ground. The recharging wells should be selected at places where the groundwater quality will not be affected by the recharge operation as indicated in the Section 2.3 of TM-DSS. The baseline groundwater quality shall be determined prior to the selection of the recharge wells, and submit a working plan (including the laboratory analytical results showing the quality of groundwater to be recharged by the recharge location(s) as well as the pollutant levels of groundwater at the recharge well. Prior to recharge, any prohibited substances such as TPH products should be removed as necessary by installing the petrol interceptor. 						
\$6.9.1.6	W6	Accidental Spillage In order to prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, the following is recommended:	To minimize water quality impact from accidental	Contractor	All construction site where practicable	Construction stage	Water Pollution Control Ordinance	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and the locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains; The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities should be stored with suitable labels and warnings. Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. 	spillage				 ProPECC PN 1/94 TM-EIAO TM-DSS 	
			Waste Manage	ement (Construction	Waste)			
\$7.4.1	WM1	 On-site sorting of C&D material Geological assessment should be carried out by competent persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g. volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc.). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke rock should be separated at the source sites as far as practicable and stored at designated stockpile area preventing them from delivering to crushing facilities. The crushing plant operator should also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock from ending up at concrete 	Separation of unsuitable rock from ending up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	• DEVB (W) No. 6/2010	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use. Details regarding control measures at source site and crushing facilities should be submitted by the Contractor for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site records should also be kept for the types of rock materials excavated and the traceability of delivery will be ensured with the implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforced by site supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc. should be explored.						
\$7.5.1	WM2	 Construction and Demolition Material Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement; Carry out on-site sorting; Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate; Adopt 'selective demolition' technique to demolish the existing structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete effectively for recycling purpose, where possible; Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified; and 	Good site practice to minimize the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	 Land (Miscellaneo us Provisions) Ordinance Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials and to minimize their generation during the course of construction. 						
\$7.5.1	WM3	 <u>C&D Waste</u> Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as practicable in order to minimize the arising of C&D materials. The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the construction works should be considered. Use of wooden hoardings should not be used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding should be used to enhance the possibility of recycling. The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid over ordering and wastage; The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites should be considered for such segregation and storage. 	Good site practice to minimize the waste generation and recycle the C&D materials as far as practicable so as to reduce the amount for final disposal	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	 Land (Miscellaneo us Provisions) Ordinance Waste Disposal Ordinance ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
\$7.5.1	WM5	 All construction plant and equipment shall be designed and maintained to minimize the risk of silt, sediments, contaminants or other pollutants being released into the water column or deposited in the locations other than designated location; All vessels shall be sized such that adequate draft is maintained between vessels and the sea bed at all states of the tide to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; Before moving the vessels which are used for transporting dredged material, excess material shall be cleaned from the decks and exposed fittings of vessels and the sea except at the approved locations; Adequate freeboard shall be maintained on barges to ensure that decks are not washed by wave action. The Contractors shall monitor all vessels transporting material to ensure that no dumping outside the approved location takes place. The Contractor shall keep and produce logs and other records to demonstrate compliance and that journeys are consistent with designated locations and copies of such records shall be submitted to the engineers; The Contractors shall comply with the conditions in the dumping licence. 	To control pollution due to marine sediment	Contractor	Along CKR alignment	Construction stage	• ETWB TCW No. 34/2002	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 All bottom dumping vessels (Hopper barges) shall be fitted with tight fittings seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; The material shall be placed into the disposal pit by bottom dumping; Contaminated marine mud shall be transported by spit barge of not less than 750m3 capacity and capable of rapid opening and discharge at the disposal site; Discharge shall be undertaken rapidly and the hoppers shall be closed immediately. Material adhering to the sides of the hopper shall not be washed out of the hopper and the hopper shall remain closed until the barge returns to the disposal site. For Type 3 special disposal treatment, sealing of contaminant with geosynthetic containment before dropping designated mud pit would be a possible arrangement. A geosynthetic containment method is a method whereby the sediments are sealed in geosynthetic containers and, the containers would be dropped into the designated contaminated mud pit where they would be covered by further mud disposal and later by the mud pit capping at the disposal site, thereby fulfilling the requirements for fully confined mud disposal. 						
\$7.5.1	WM6	 <u>Chemical Waste</u> Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be handled in 	Control the chemical waste and ensure proper storage,	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	 Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) 	 Implemented, deficiency rectified after observation.

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes; Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed, have a capacity of less than 450 L unless the specification has been approved by EPD, and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation; The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste, enclosed on at least 3 sides, have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20% of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest, have adequate ventilation, covered to prevent rainfall entering, and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated; Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector, be to a facility licensed to receive chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers, or be to a reuser of the waste, under approval from EPD. 	handling and disposal				(General) Regulation • Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste	

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S7.5.1	WM7	 General Refuse General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes; A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law. Aluminum cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be provided if feasible; Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme should be considered by the Contractor. 	Minimize production of the general refuse and avoid odour, pest and litter impacts	Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	• Waste Disposal Ordinance	• Implemented
		· · · · · ·	Land Contamin	ation				
S8.9 & Appendix 8.4				Contractor	РВН4	Prior to commencement of construction works within the contaminated area	 Practice Guide (PG) for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminate d Land 	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Reco	mmended Mitiga	tion Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 during stockpiling. The Contractor should pay attention to the selection of suitable groundwater lowering schemes and discharge points if the groundwater table is higher than the contaminated soils during excavation. The Contractor should also obtain a valid Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) discharge licence from EPD where applicable. 						 Guidance Notes for Contaminate d Land Assessment and Remediation Guidance 		
S8.9 & Appendix 8.4	LC3	specified of the distribute shall be	depth, at least one excavation and f d along the bound	ne excavation to the sample from the base four samples evenly lary of the excavation e assessment testing. nown below:					Manual for Use of Risk- Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Contaminate	Implemented
		Locations Testing requirement Acceptance Criteria PBH4 PCBs RBRGs (Public Park) • If the results of analysis below the RBRGs (Public Park), no further excavation will be required. If the analysis indicates presence of contamination (i.e. noncompliance of the acceptance criteria), further excavation shall be carried out in 0.5m increment vertically and/or horizontally depending on the location(s) of the sample(s) which has exceeded the acceptance criteria. Further sampling shall also be conducted for compliance testing. The process of						d Land Management		

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		excavation, sampling and compliance testing should continue until all contaminated materials are removed and should be supervised by a Land Contamination Specialist.						
Appendix 8.4	LC4	A Remediation Report (RR) to demonstrate adequate clean-up shall be prepared and submitted to EPD for endorsement prior to the commencement of any construction/development works within the sites. No construction/development works shall be carried out prior to the endorsement of the RR by EPD.						Implemented
				Hazard to Life				
\$9.18	H1	Blasting activities regarding transport and use of explosives should be supervised and audited by competent site staff to ensure full compliance with the blasting permit conditions.	To ensure that the risks from the proposed explosives handling and transport would be acceptable	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	Dangerous Goods Ordinance	• N/A
S9.6, para.4	H2	Detonators shall not be transported in the same vehicle with other Category 1 Dangerous Goods.	To reduce the risk of explosion during the transport of cartridged emulsion	Contractor	-	Construction stage	 Dangerous Goods Ordinance 	• N/A
S9.6, para.8	H3	The explosives delivery trucks should be approved by Mines Division and should meet the regulatory requirements for transport of explosives.	To comply with the requirements for approval of an explosives	Contractor	-	Construction stage	 Dangerous Goods Ordinance 	• N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
			delivery vehicle					
S9.10, para.7 and S9.18	H4	Blast cover should be provided for shaft at HMT, and kept closed during blasting. Provision of blast doors or heavy duty blast curtains should be implemented at the shaft to prevent flyrock and control the air overpressure.	To ensure safe use of explosives	Contractor	Shaft	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.16	H5	Only the required quantity of explosives for a particular blast should be transported to avoid the return.	To reduce risks during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
\$9.18	H7	The approved truck dedicated for transport of explosives should comply with the "Guidance Note on Requirements for Approval of an Explosives Delivery Vehicle" issued by CEDD Mines Division. The truck should be periodically inspected and properly maintained in good operation conditions. The fuel carried in the fuel tank should be minimized to reduce the duration of fire. Adequate fire fighting equipment shall be provided, inspected and replaced periodically (e.g. fire extinguishers).	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas of which explosives would be used	Construction stage	Dangerous Goods Ordinance	• N/A
S9.18	H8	The driver and his assistant should be physically healthy, experienced and have good safe driving records. The driver should hold a proper driving licence for the approved transport truck. Dedicated training programme and regular road safety briefing	risk during explosives	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		sessions/ workshops should be provided to enhance their safe driving attitude and practice. Smoking should be strictly prohibited.						
S9.18	H9	Emergency response plans in case of road accident should be prepared and implemented. The driver and his assistant should be familiar with the emergency procedures including evacuation, and proper communication/ fire-fighting equipment should be provided to the driver and his assistant.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
59.18	H10	Close liaison and communication among Mines Division, Contractors for transport of explosives, and working staff of the blasting should be established. In case of any change of work schedule leading to cancellation or variation of explosives required, relevant parties should be informed in time to avoid unused explosives at the work sites.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H11	Close liaison and communication with Fire Services Department should be established to reduce the accidental detonation escalated from a fire. The contractors for transport of explosives should use the preferred transport routes as far as practicable.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H12	Contingency plan should be prepared for transport of explosives under severe weather conditions such as rainstorms and thunderstorms.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S9.18	H13	For explosive transport, all packages of explosives on the truck should be properly stored in the truck compartment as required. Packaging of the explosives should remain intact (i.e. damage free) until they are transferred to the blasting site.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
\$9.18	H14	Availability of a parking space should be ensured before commencement of transport of explosives. Location for loading and unloading of explosives should be as close as possible to the shaft. No hot work should be performed in the vicinity during the time of loading and unloading.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S9.18	H22	It is recommended to explore to minimize the use of the cartridged emulsion explosives and maximize the use of bulk emulsion explosive as far as practicable.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
\$9.18	H24	It is recommended to explore to use smaller explosive charges such as 'cast boosters' or 'mini-cast booster' instead of cartridged emulsion as primers for bulk emulsion. This option reduces the quantity of explosives required for transportation for the sections where bulk emulsion will be used.	To reduce the risk during explosives transport	Contractor	Works areas at which explosives would be used	Construction stage	-	• N/A
			Lan	dscape & Visual				
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV3	 <u>Good Site Management</u> Large temporary stockpiles of excavated material shall be covered with unobtrusive sheeting to prevent dust and dirt spreading to adjacent landscape areas and vegetation, and to create a neat and tidy visual appearance. 	Minimize visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		• Construction plant and building material shall be orderly and carefully stored in order to create a neat and tidy visual appearance.						
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV4	 <u>Screen Hoarding</u> Decorative screen hoarding should be erected to screen the public from the construction area. It should be designed to be compatible with the existing urban context. 	Minimize visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	Implemented
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV5	 Lighting Control during Construction All lighting in the construction site shall be carefully controlled to minimize light pollution and night-time glare to nearby residencies and GIC. The Contractor shall consider other security measures, which shall minimize the visual impacts. 	Minimize visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV6	 <u>Erosion Control</u> The potential for soil erosion shall be reduced by minimizing the extent of vegetation disturbance on site and by providing a protective cover over newly exposed soil. 	Minimize landscape impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	-	• N/A
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV7	 <u>Tree Protection & Preservation</u> Carefully protected during construction. Tree protection measures will be detailed at the Tree Removal Application stage and plans submitted to the relevant Government Department for approval in due course in accordance with ETWB TC no. 3/2006. 	Minimize landscape and visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site	Construction stage	 'Guidelines for Tree Risk Management and Assessment Arrangement on an Area Basis and on a Tree Basis', Greening, 	Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S10.10.1 Table 10.11	LV8	 <u>Tree Transplantation</u> For trees unavoidably affected by the Project that have to be removed, where practical transplantation will be chosen as the top priority method of removal. If this is not possible or practical compensatory planting will be provided for trees unavoidably felled (See LV10). For trees unavoidably affected by the Project works that are transplanted, transplantation must be carried out in accordance with ETWB TCW 2/2004 and 3/2006. 	Minimize landscape and visual impact	Contractor	Within Project site and designated off-site locations	Prior to Construction stage	Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB Latest recommende d horticultural practices from GLTM Section, DEVB ETWB TCW 3/2006 Latest recommende d horticultural practices from Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB	• N/A

S10.10.1 Table U/9 Compensatory Planting Minimize visual impact and also transportation will be chosen as the top provided transportation will be chosen as the top provided for trees unavoidably affected by the Project that transportation will be chosen as the top provided for trees unavoidably felled. All felled trees shall be compensatory planting will be provided for trees unavoidably felled. All felled trees shall be compensatory for up provided for trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under ETWBTC 3/2006. Contractor Within Project site Construction stage • ETWB TCW 3/2006 • N/A Openensatory Planting trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under ETWBTC 3/2006. Compensatory tree planting may be incorporated into public open spaces and along roadside amenity areas affected by the construction works and therefore be part of the bigger wider planting plans. Onsite compensation planting is preferred but if necessary, additional receptor sites outside the Works Area shall be agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process. N/A	EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
Table 10.11• For trees unavoidably affected by the Project that have to be removed, where practical transportation will be chosen as the top priority method of removal but if this is not possible or practical compensatory planting will be provided for trees unavoidably felled. All felled trees shall be compensated for by planting trees to the satisfaction of relevant Government projects. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under ETWBTC 3/2006.site3/2006 Latest recommend d horticultural practices from Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB• Compensatory tree planting may be incorporated into public open spaces and along roadside amenity areas affected by the construction works and therefore be part of the bigger wider planting plans. Onsite compensation planting is preferred but if necessary, additional receptor sites outside the Work Area shall be agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Applicationsetter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter3/2006 setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter settersetter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter settersetter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter setter settersetter setter setter setter setter setter settersetter setter <td>540.40.4</td> <td>11/2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Waltin Destination</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	540.40.4	11/2				Waltin Destination			
	Table	1.09	 For trees unavoidably affected by the Project that have to be removed, where practical transportation will be chosen as the top priority method of removal but if this is not possible or practical compensatory planting will be provided for trees unavoidably felled. All felled trees shall be compensated for by planting trees to the satisfaction of relevant Government projects. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under ETWBTC 3/2006. Compensatory tree planting may be incorporated into public open spaces and along roadside amenity areas affected by the construction works and therefore be part of the bigger wider planting plans. Onsite compensation planting is preferred but if necessary, additional receptor sites outside the Works Area shall be agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application 	impact and also enhance	Contractor	-	Construction stage	3/2006 • Latest recommende d horticultural practices from Greening, Landscape and Tree Management (GLTM) Section, DEVB • ETWB TCW	• N/A


EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
S11.4.4	CH1	The contractor should be alerted during the construction on the possibility of locating archaeological remains and as a precautionary measure, AMO shall be informed immediately in case of discovery of antiquities or supposed antiquities in the subject sites.	To preserve any cultural heritage items which may be removed and damaged by the excavation	Contractor	During construction works for cut and cover tunnels	Construction stage	AMOs requirements	Implemented
S12.6.1	СНЗ	 Protective covering should be provided for the buildings in the form of plastic sheeting; Buffer zones should be provided between the construction works and the external walls of the buildings and should be as large as site restrictions allow and be marked out by temporary fencing or hoarding; An underpinning scheme is required to transfer the existing column loadings to a deeper rock stratum. The supporting system includes cutting the existing ground floor slab to expose the existing pile caps and then construct transfer beams will tie up with the existing caps. Loadings of the transfer beams will be transferred to the rock socket piles installed at the two ends of the beams; The AAA settlement and tilting limit should be 6/8/10 mm and1/2000, 1/1500 and 1/1000; Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit will be set at 5/6/7.5 mm/s. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment;. 	Protect the building from damage from construction works	Contractor	Yau Ma Tei Police Station (Old Wing) (CKR-01)	Prior to commencement of and during the construction phase	 Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment EIAO-TM Annex 10 and Annex 19 AMO Proposed Vibration Limits 	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
		 Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff of HyD to ensure compliance. 						
S12.6.1		 Adopting diaphragm wall construction method; Grout curtain should be provided in front of the building; Recharging system should be installed as a contingency measure to mitigate the fluctuation of water table; the AAA settlement and tilting limit should be 6/8/10 mm and 1/2000, 1/1500 and 1/1000; Monitoring of vibration levels will be undertaken during the construction phase and the Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit will be set at 5/6/7.5 mm/s. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment;. Regular site inspections and monitoring works will be carried out by the contractor and the monitoring results will be submitted to the resident site staff of HyD to ensure compliance. 	Protect the building from damage from construction works	Contractor	Yau Ma Tei Police Station (Old Wing) (CKR-01)	Prior to commencement of and during the construction phase	 Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment EIAO-TM Annex 10 and Annex 19 AMO Proposed Vibration Limits 	• Implemented
S12.6.1 Table 12.2		 The Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit will be set at 3/4/5 mm/s and a condition survey shall be carried out by the project proponent prior to the construction phase to confirm this assessment Vibration monitoring of the structure shall be employed during the construction phase to ensure that the level is not exceeded. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment. 	Protect the building from damage from construction works	Contractor	Tin Hau Temple (CKR- 02)	Prior to commencement of and during the construction phase	 Guidelines for Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment EIAO-TM Annex 10 and Annex 19 AMO 	• Implemented

EIA Ref.	EM&A Log Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommende d Measures & Main Concerns to address	Implementation Agent	Location / Timing	Implementation Stage	Requirements and/ or standards to be achieved	Implementation Status
							Proposed Vibration Limits	
				EM&A Project				
S13.2	EM1	An Independent Environmental Checker needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual	Control EM&A Performance	Highways Department	All construction sites	Construction stage	 EIAO Guidance Note No. 4/2010 TM-EIAO 	Implemented
\$13.2-13.4	EM2	 An Environmental Team needs to be employed as per the EM&A Manual; Prepare a systematic Environmental Management Plan to ensure effective implementation of the mitigation measures; An environmental impact monitoring needs to be implemented by the Environmental Team to ensure all the requirements given in the EM&A Manual are fully complied with. 	Perform environmental monitoring & auditing	Highways Department/ Contractor	All construction sites	Construction stage	 EIAO Guidance Note No. 4/2010 TM-EIAO 	Implemented

Appendix G Monitoring Schedule of the Reporting Month

Contract No. HY/2014/08 Environmental Monitoring & Auditing



Appendix H Calibration Certificates (Air Monitoring)



Sibata LD-5R K-Factor Verification Test by Total Suspended Particulates HVS Test Report

Verification Test Date:	12-Sep-21	to	19-Sep-21
Next Verification Test Date:	20-Sep-22		
Unit-under-Test- Model No.	Sibata LD-5R		
Unit-under-Test Serial No.	992821		
Our Report Refrence No.	RPT-21-HVS-001	2	

Standard Equipment Information			
Verification Equipment Type		Tisch's TSP	Tish HVS
vernication Equipment Type		HVS	Calibrator
Standard Equipment Model No.		TE-5170X	TE-5028
Equipment serial no.	MFC	1049	1050
Last Calibration Date		4-Sep-21	24-Sep-20
Next Calibration Date		4-Nov-21	24-Sep-21

Verification	Date	Time			K-Factor	Counts/ Minute (R)	Total Counts	TSP Sample	Dust Concentration (ug/m3), (C)
Test No.		Start-time	End-time	Elapsed Time (in min)	Time K-Factor (K=C/R) x-axi	x-axis	(TC)	ID No.	y axis
1	12/9/2021	4012.12	4014.84	163.20	0.00115	85.67	13981	R211363/1	98
2	12/9/2021	4014.84	4018.16	199.20	0.00125	93.00	18526	R211363/2	116
3	12/9/2021	4018.16	4021.16	180.00	0.00101	89.00	16020	R211363/3	89
4	19/9/2021	4046.44	4049.65	192.60	0.00040	63.67	12262	R211364/1	26
5	19/9/2021	4049.65	4052.95	198.00	0.00041	65.33	12936	R211364/2	27
6	19/9/2021	4052.95	4055.56	156.60	0.00066	59.33	9291.6	R211364/3	39
					0.00081				

0.8

K-Factor to be inputted in LD-5R (corrected 1 decimal point):


By Linear Regression of y on x: slope, mh= 2.5858 intercept,ch= -130.6851 *Correlation Coefficient,R= 0.9584 Verification Test Result: Strong Correlation, Results were accepted. \ast If the Correlation Coefficient, R is <0.5. Checking and Reverification are required.

K_

Technical Manager

Verified By:

Date: 09-10-2021







Website: www.acuityhk.com

Unit C, 11/F, Ford Glory Plaza, Nos. 57–59 Wing Hong Street, Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon.

C Tel. : (852) 2698 6833 Fax.: (852) 2698 9383

0

Sibata LD-5R K-Factor Verification Test by Total Suspended Particulates HVS Test Report

Verification Test Date:	27-Jun-21 to	o 1-Jul-21
Next Verification Test Date:	1-Jul-22	
Unit-under-Test- Model No.	Sibata LD-5R	
Unit-under-Test Serial No.	851819	
Our Report Refrence No.	RPT-21-HVS-0006	

Standard Equipment Information			
Verification Equipment Type		Tisch's TSP	Tish HVS
vernication Equipment Type		HVS	Calibrator
Standard Equipment Model No.		TE-5170X	TE-5028
Equipment serial no.	MFC	1049	1050
Last Calibration Date		17-Jun-21	24-Sep-20
Next Calibration Date		17-Aug-21	24-Sep-21

Verification	Date		Time		K-Factor	Counts/ Minute (R) Total Count		TSP Sample	Dust Concentration (ug/m3), (C)
Test No.		Start-time	End-time	Elapsed Time (in min)	K-Factor (K=C/R) x-axis	x-axis	(TC)	ID No.	y axis
1	27/6/2021	1254.37	1257.37	180.00	0.00118	28.33	5100	R210872/1	33.33
2	27/6/2021	1258.44	1261.44	180.00	0.00105	56.33	10140	R210872/2	59.26
3	27/6/2021	1262.31	1265.31	180.00	0.00127	7.67	1380	R210872/3	9.72
4	1/7/2021	1265.84	1268.84	180.00	0.00098	74.67	13440	R210887/1	73.15
5	1/7/2021	1269.10	1272.10	180.00	0.00095	14.67	2640	R210887/2	13.89
6	1/7/2021	1272.50	1275.50	180.00	0.00093	26.00	4680	R210887/3	24.07
					0.00106				

1.1

K-Factor to be inputted in LD-5R (corrected 1 decimal point):

9843
5024
9941


Verification Test Result: Strong Correlation, Results were accepted. * If the Correlation Coefficient, R is <0.5. Checking and Re-


verification are required.

Verified By:

Technical Manager







Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610 FAX: (513)467-9009

創新科儀有限公司

		CALIE	BRATION 1	DATA SHEE	T (TSP)	
		Site	Information	1		
Location:	YMT Catholic Primary School	Site ID:	₩-A1	Date:	26-0ct	-2021
Serial No:	1084	Mode1:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tir	n
		Amhie	nt Condition	n		
Corrected Pr	ressure (mm Hg):	762.0	Temperature (298.	1
		Calibr	ation Orifi	ce		
Model:			E-5028A	Slope:	1.030	41
Serial No.:			3702	Intercept:	-0.002	231
Calibration	Due Date:	3	-Aug-22	Corr. Coeff:	0.999)75
				1		
Plate or	In,H2O	1	bration Data 1. X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y	-Axis
Test #	(in)		m3/min)	(chart)	(corre	
1	1.38	ľ í	1.144	38.5	38.5	
2	2.35		1.492	40.0	40.0	5
3	3.57		1.838	41.3	41.35	
4	3.88	1.916		41.7	41.7	5
5	4.32	2.022		42.2	42.25	
Sampler Calibt	ation Relationship (Qa on x-axi	s. IC on v-az	tis)			
	auon Kelauonsinp (Qa on x-axis					
m=	4.1439	b=	33.8158	-	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m=			33.8158 CFM	-	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m=	4.1439	b= 39		-	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San	4.1439	b= 39	CFM	- - ope	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]	b= 39	CFM		Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]	b= 39	CFM Ilculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon	ercept ise	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate	b= 39	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected c	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response	b= 39	CFM Ilculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected c I = actual chart	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response response	b= 39	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected c I = actual chart m = calibrator	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response response Qstd slope	b= 39	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected c I = actual chart m = calibrator (b = calibrator (4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response response Qstd slope Qstd slope Qstd intercept	b= 39 Ca	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected of I = actual chart m = calibrator 0 b = calibrator 0 Ta = actual tem	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response response Qstd slope Qstd slope Qstd intercept perature during calibration (deg	b= <u>39</u> Ca	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected of I = actual chart m = calibrator (b = calibrator (Ta = actual tem Pa = actual pres	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response response Qstd slope Qstd slope Qstd intercept perature during calibration (deg usure during calibration (mm Hg)	b= <u>39</u> Ca	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected of I = actual chart m = calibrator O b = calibrator O Ta = actual tem Pa = actual pres Tstd = 298 deg	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response response Qstd slope Qstd slope Qstd slope Qstd intercept perature during calibration (deg usure during calibration (mm Hg) K	b= <u>39</u> Ca	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected of I = actual chart m = calibrator (b = calibrator (Ta = actual tem Pa = actual pres Tstd = 298 deg Pstd = 760 mm	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response response Qstd slope Qstd slope Qstd intercept perature during calibration (deg usure during calibration (mm Hg) K Hg	b= <u>39</u> Ca	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected of I = actual chart m = calibrator (b = calibrator (Ta = actual tem Pa = actual pres Tstd = 298 deg Pstd = 760 mm For subsequent	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response response Qstd slope Qstd slope Qstd slope Qstd intercept perature during calibration (deg usure during calibration (mm Hg) K	b= <u>39</u> Ca	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993
m= San Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/F Qstd = standard IC = corrected of I = actual chart m = calibrator (b = calibrator (Ta = actual tem Pa = actual pres Tstd = 298 deg Pstd = 760 mm For subsequent	4.1439 npler set point(SSP) t(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate chart response response Qstd slope Qstd slope Qstd intercept perature during calibration (deg issure during calibration (mm Hg) K Hg calculation of sampler flow:	b= <u>39</u> Ca	CFM m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept ise mperature	Corr. Coeff=	0.9993

創新科儀有限公司

HIVO	OL SAMPLER	CALIBR	ATION	DATA S	SHEET (TSP)
		Site I	nformatic	on	
	YMT Catholic				
Location:	Primary School	Site ID	₩-A1	Date:	13-Nov-2021
Serial No:	1084	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator	: Tim

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 763.6 Temperature (deg K): 295.3

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5028A	Slope:	1.03041
Serial No.:	3702	Intercept:	-0.00231
Calibration Due Date:	3-Aug-22	Corr. Coef	0.99975

Calibration Data

Plate or	In,H2O	Qa, X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y-Axis		
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)		
1	1.14	1.046	38.8	39.07		
2	2.36	1.503	40.3	40.58		
3	3.21	1.753	41.0	41.28		
4	3.45	1.817	41.3	41.59		
5	4.01	1.959	41.8	42.09		

Sampler Calibtation Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m=	3.2610	b=	35.6531	Corr. Coeff=	0.9991
Sampler set p	oint(SSP)	39	CFM		
		Ca	lculations		
Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(P))]	a/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]		m = sampler slope		
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd)]	d/Ta)]		b = sampler intercept		
			I = chart response		
Qstd = standard flow rat	e		Tav = average temperature		
IC = corrected chart resp	oonse		Pav = average pressure		
I = actual chart response					
m = calibrator Qstd slop	e				
b = calibrator Qstd inter	cept				
Ta = actual temperature	during calibration (d	eg K)			
Pa = actual pressure duri	ng calibration (mm	Hg)			
Tstd = 298 deg K					
Pstd = 760 mm Hg					
For subsequent calculation	on of sampler flow:				
(1.21*m+b)/[Sqrt(298/T					
	Tim				
Checked by:	97 (4909) 1970		Date:	13-No	ov-21

創新科儀有限公司

		CALIE	BRATION I	DATA SHEE	T (TSP)
		Site	Information		
Location:	Man Cheong Building	Site ID:	₩-A6	Date:	26-0ct-2021
Serial No:	1050	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim
		Ambie	nt Condition	n	
Corrected Pr	essure (mm Hg):	762.0	Temperature ((deg K):	298.1
		Calibr	ation Orifi	ce	
Model:		Т	E-5028A	Slope:	1.03041
Serial No.:			3702	Intercept:	-0.00231
Calibration	Due Date:	3	-Aug-22	Corr. Coeff:	0.99975
			-		
	· · · · · ·		bration Data		· · · · · ·
Plate or	In,H2O	-	ı, X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y-Axis
Test #	(in) 1.25	(m3/min) 1.089	(chart) 39.1	(corrected) 39.14
2	2.31		1.479	39.9	39.95
3	2.51		1.542	40.0	40.05
4	3.34		1.778	40.4	40.45
5	4.12		1.974	40.8	40.85
Sampler Calibra	tion Relationshin (Os on x-svi		rie)		
Sampler Calibta m=	tion Relationship (Qa on x-axis 1.8980	s, IC on y-az b=	t is) 37.1018		Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m=	1.8980	b=	37.1018	-	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m=		b= 39	37.1018 CFM	-	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam	1.8980 pler set point(SSP)	b= 39	37.1018 CFM Ilculations	- -	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt0	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]	b= 39	37.1018 CFM		Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt0	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]	b= 39	37.1018 CFM Ilculations m = sampler slo	ercept	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pa	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)]	b= 39	37.1018 CFM alculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into	ercept se	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/P Qstd = standard	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate	b= 39	37.1018 CFM Iculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/P Qstd = standard IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response esponse	b= 39	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrtt(Pa/P Qstd = standard IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r m = calibrator Q	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response response Qstd slope	b= 39	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/P: Qstd = standard IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r m = calibrator Q b = calibrator Q	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response esponse Qstd slope istd intercept	b= 39 Ca	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pa Qstd = standard IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r m = calibrator Q b = calibrator Q Ta = actual temp	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response response systd slope lstd intercept perature during calibration (deg	b= <u>39</u> Ca	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pa Qstd = standard IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r m = calibrator Q b = calibrator Q Ta = actual temp Pa = actual press	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response response systd slope lstd intercept serature during calibration (deg sure during calibration (mm Hg)	b= <u>39</u> Ca	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pa Qstd = standard IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r m = calibrator Q b = calibrator Q Ta = actual temp Pa = actual press Tstd = 298 deg F	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response esponse systed slope lstd intercept perature during calibration (deg sure during calibration (mm Hg) X	b= <u>39</u> Ca	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pa Qstd = standard IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r m = calibrator Q b = calibrator Q Ta = actual temp Pa = actual temp Pa = actual press Tstd = 298 deg F Pstd = 760 mm F	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response response systd slope lstd intercept serature during calibration (deg sure during calibration (mm Hg) K Hg	b= <u>39</u> Ca	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pi Qstd = standard IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r m = calibrator Q Ta = actual temp Pa = actual temp Pa = actual temp Pa = actual press Tstd = 298 deg F Pstd = 760 mm H For subsequent c	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response esponse systed slope lstd intercept perature during calibration (deg sure during calibration (mm Hg) X	b= <u>39</u> Ca	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/P: IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r m = calibrator Q Ta = actual temp Pa = actual temp Pa = actual press Tstd = 298 deg F Pstd = 760 mm H For subsequent c	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response esponse systed slope std intercept berature during calibration (deg sure during calibration (mm Hg) K Hg calculation of sampler flow:	b= <u>39</u> Ca	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990
m= Sam Qstd = 1/m[Sqrtt IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pi Qstd = standard IC = corrected cl I = actual chart r m = calibrator Q Ta = actual temp Pa = actual temp Pa = actual temp Pa = actual press Tstd = 298 deg F Pstd = 760 mm H For subsequent c	1.8980 pler set point(SSP) (H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] std)(Tstd/Ta)] flow rate hart response esponse 2std slope std intercept berature during calibration (deg sure during calibration (mm Hg) K Hg alculation of sampler flow: t(298/Tav)(Pav/760)] Tim	b= <u>39</u> Ca	37.1018 CFM Inculations m = sampler slo b = sampler into I = chart respon Tav = average te	ercept se mperature	Corr. Coeff= 0.9990

創新科儀有限公司

HIVO	L SAMPLER	CALIBRA	TION	DATA SHE	ET (TSP)				
Site Information									
Location:	Man Cheong Building	Site ID	₩-А6	Date:	13-Nov-2021				
Serial No:	1050	Model:	TE-5170X	Operator:	Tim				

Ambient Condition

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	763.6	Temperature (deg K):	295.3
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Calibration Orifice

Model:	TE-5028A	Slope:	1.03041
Serial No.:	3702	Intercept:	-0.00231
Calibration Due Date:	3-Aug-22	Corr. Coef	0.99975

Calibration Data

Plate or	In,H2O	Qa, X-Axis	I, CFM	IC, Y-Axis
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	1.05	1.004	40.0	40.28
2	1.71	1.280	40.4	40.68
3	1.85	1.331	40.5	40.78
4	3.12	1.728	41.2	41.49
5	4.20	2.005	41.6	41.89

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Qa on x-axis, IC on y-axis)

m=	1.6444	b=	38.6058	Corr. Coeff=	0.9990
Sampler set point(SSP)		40	CFM		
		Cal	culations		
Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2C)])(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]		m = sampler slope		
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Ta/Pstd))	[[std/Ta]]		b = sampler intercept		
			I = chart response		
Qstd = standard flow	rate		Tav = average temperature		
IC = corrected chart r	esponse		Pav = average pressure		
I = actual chart respon	nse				
m = calibrator Qstd s	slope				
b = calibrator Qstd in	ntercept				
Ta = actual temperatu	re during calibration (deg K)				
Pa = actual pressure c	luring calibration (mm Hg)				
Tstd = 298 deg K					
Pstd = 760 mm Hg					
For subsequent calcu	lation of sampler flow:				
(1.21*m+b)/[Sqrt(298	8/Tav)(Pav/760)]				
	Tim				
Checked by:	0 10 10 T		Date:	13-No	ov-21

Appendix I Calibration Certificates (Noise)

(A+A)*L	Acoustics and Air Testing 聲學及空氣測試實			111
Cer	rtificate	of	Calib	ration
	Description	for		

1	Description:	Sound Level Meter	/
1	Manufacturer:	NTi Audic	
	Type No.:	XL2 (Se. (al No.: A2A-13548-E0)	
	Microphone:	ACO 7052 (Serial No.:73780)	
	Preamplifier:	NTi Audio M2211 MA220 (Serial No.:52)	35)
	Si	ul mitted by:)/
	Customer: Acui'y	Sustainability Consulting Limited	
12	Address: Unit C,	11/F., Ford Glory Plaza, No. 37-39 Wing	Hong Street,
	Cheun	g Sha Wan, Kowloon	
Upon receipt for cal	libration, the instrume	ent vas found to be:	
Within			
🗆 Outside			
the allowable tolera	nce.		
		raceable to National Standards via: pecial Administra ive Region Standard &	Calibration
Date of receipt: 10	December 2020		
Date of calibration:			
	in December 2020		
	1 1		/
	In	1 6/16	
Culibrated by:	Ca'ibraiis" Technici ii	Certified by: <u>////////////////////////////////////</u>	lg Yan Wa
			Manager
Date of issue: 12 De	cember 2020	V	
		(A:A) *1	
Certificate No.: APJ	P0-144 CC001		Page 1 of 4
Room 422,Leader Incu		i Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong	
4	Tel: (852 Homepage: http://www	2) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946 v.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com	

(A+A)*L Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co. Ltd. 聲學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司

1. Calibration Precaution:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature:23.7 °CAir Pressure:1006 hPaRelative Humidity:61.8 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

	Туре	Serial No.	Calibration Report Number	Traceable to
Multifunction Calibrator	B&K 4226	2288467	AV200041	HOKLAS

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Tressure Level

Set ing of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, dB	F req.	Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, a B	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	aRA	SPL	Fast	94	1000	94.0	±0.4

Linearity

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. V	Veighting	Time Weighting	Level, 1B	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
				94		94.0	Ref
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	104	1000	104.0	±0.3
				114		114.0	±0.3

Time Weighting

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Applied value		UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1	
Range, d's	Freq.	Weighting	C.me Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dDA	SDI	Fast	94	1000	94.0	Ref
56-150	dBA SPL	A SPL	Slow	94	1000	94.0	±0.3

Certificate No.: XPJ20-1/4-CC001

(A+A) *L

Page 2 of 4

Room 422,Leader In. ur trial Centre,57-59 Au Pui Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946 Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com

(A+A)*L Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co. Ltd. 聲學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司

Frequency Response

Linear Response

Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Appl	Appli [/] d value UUT Reading,			
Range, dB	Freq. We	ighting	Time Weighting	Level, dP	r'requency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	94.1	±2.0
					63	94.1	±1.5
					125	24.1	±1.5
					250	94.1	1.4
30-130	dB	SPL	Fast	94	500	94.1	±1.4
				í l	1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	93.8	= 1.6
					4000	93.4	÷1,5
					8000	92.7	+1, -3.1

A-weighting

Setti	ing of Unit-under-t	est (UUT	Appl	ied value	UUT Read in ;,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
				31.5	54.7	-39.4 ±2.0
	\sim			63	08.0	-26.2±1.5
				125	75.0	-16.1 ±1.5
				250	85.4	-8.6 ±1.4
30-130	C'BA SPL	Fast	94	500	90.8	-3.2 ± 1.4
	//			1000	94.0	Ref
	/ /			2000	95.0	$+1.2 \pm 1.6$
				4000	94.4	$+1.0 \pm 1.6$
				8000	91.6	-1.1+2.1; -3.1

C-weighting

	Setti	ing of Unit-under-to	est (UUT)	Arpl	ied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
	Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Tin e Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
					31.5	91.1	-3.0 ±2.0
				1	63	93.3	-0.8±1.5
					125	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250	94.1	-0.0 ± 1.4
14	20-130	dBC SPL	Fast	94	500	94.1	-0.0 ± 1.4
					1000	94.0	Ref
					2000	93.7	-0.2 ±1.6
)/		4000	92.6	-0.8±1.6
					8000	89.7	-3.0 +2.1: -3.1
Certifi	cate No.: X	PJ20-1/4-CC001		(LAR TESTING LABOR		Page 3 of 4
Room	422,Leader I	In lur trial Centre,5	7-59 Au Pui Wan S	Street , Fo Ta	n, Shatin,N.T.,H	long Kong	
		Homepage:	Tel: (852)2668 http://www.aa-lab		Fax:(852)2 mail : inquiry@a		

(A+A)*L Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co. Ltd. 聲學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司

5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	± 0.10
	63 Hz	± 1.15
	125 Hz	+ 0.10
	250 Hz	0.10
	500 Hz	± 0.10
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 Hz	± 0.05
	8000 Hz	± 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 35% confidence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environment 1 changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

any loss of damage resulting nom der use of the equipment.	
Certificate No.: XPJ20-1.4-CC001	Page 4 of 4
Room 422,Leader In: us trial Centre,57-59 Au Pui Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946 Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com	

(A+A)*L Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co. Ltd. 量量量】 聲學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司
Certificate of Calibration
for
Description: Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer: NTi Auaig
<i>Type No.:</i> XL2 (Secial No.: A2A-13661-E0)
Microphone: ACO 7052 (Serial No.: 73912)
Preamplifier: NTi Audio MA220 (M2211) (Serial No.: 5735)
Supmitted by:
Customer: A vity Sustainability Consulting Limited
Address: Unit C, In/F, Ford Glory Plaza, No. 37-39 W ng Hong
Stree, Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon
Upon receipt for calibration, the instrument was found to be:
 ✓ Within (31.5 Pz - 8k Hz) □ Outside the allowable tolerance.
 The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via: The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
Date of receipt: 17 September 2021
Date of calibration: 23 September 2021
Calibration Technician Certified by:
Date of issue. 27 September 2021
Certificate No.: MPJ21-085 CC001
Room 422, Leader In Jur trial Centre, 57-59 Au Pui Wan Street, Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946 Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com

(A+A)*L Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co.Ltd. 聲量】||| 聲學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司

1. Calibration Precaution:

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 24 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

2. Calibration Conditions:

Air Temperature:24.0 °CAir Pressure:1001 hPaRelative Humidity:55.7 %

3. Calibration Equipment:

	Туре	Serial No.	Calibration Report Number	Trace, h le to
Multifunction Calibrator	B&K 42: 6	2288467	AV200041	HOK 2.S

4. Calibration Results

Sound Pressure Level

Reference Sound Fressure Level

Set i	ing of l	of Unit-under-test (UUT) Applied value			UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class	
Range, dB	F req.	Weighting	Tim. Weighting	Level, d'B	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	aB/	A SPI	Fast	94	1000	94.0	±0.4

Linearity

Sett	ing of Un	it-under-t	nder-test (UUT) Applied valu			UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. V	Veighting	Time Weighting	Level, JB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
				94		94.0	Ref
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	104	1000	104.0	±0.3
				114		114.0	±0.3

a .	TTT	
1.me	Weign	Lun C
	- 0	0

Sett	Setting of Unit-under-test (UUT)			Appl	lied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, d'S	Freq. W	eighting	Cime Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
30-130	dBA	SPL	Fast	04	1000	94.0	Ref
3 -130	dBA	SPL	Slow	94	1000	94.0	±0.3

2 of 4

Certificate No.: APJ21-085 CC001

Room 422,Leader In Juritrial Centre,57-59 Au Pui Wan Street ,Fo Tan, Shatin,N.T.,Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946 Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com

(A+A)*L Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co. Ltd. 聲學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司

Frequency Response

Linear Response

Sett	ing of Unit-under-	test (UUT)	Appl	ied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dF.	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
			4	31.5	94.1	±2.0
			~	63	94.1	±1.5
				125	94.1	±1.5
				250	94.0	+1.4
30-130	dB SPL	Fast	94	500	94.0	±1.4
				1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	94.3	±1.6
				4000	95.1	-1.6
				8000	94.3	+2.1; -3.1

A-weighting

Sett	ing of Unit-under-t	est (UUT)	Appl	ied value	UUT Reac in g,	IEC 61672 Class
Range, dB	Freq. Weighting	Time Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB
				31.5	54.7	-39.4 ±2.0
	\sim			63	67.9	-26.2 ±1.5
				125	7'3.0	-16.1±1.5
				250	85.4	-8.6±1.4
30-130	C.BA SPL	Fast	94	500	90.8	-3.2±1.4
				1000	94.0	Ref
				2000	95.5	$\pm 1.2 \pm 1.6$
				4000	96.1	$\pm 1.0 \pm 1.6$
				8000	93.9	-1.1+2.1; -3.1

C-weighting

	Setting of Unit-under-	test (UUT)	Ayp	lied value	UUT Reading,	IEC 61672 Class 1		
Range	e, dB Freq. Weighting	Tir. c Weighting	Level, dB	Frequency, Hz	dB	Specification, dB		
				31.5	91.1	-3.0±2.0		
			1	63	93.3	-0.8±1.5		
			P	125	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.5		
		~		250	94.0	-0.0 ± 1.4		
30-1	30 dBC SPL	Fost	94	500	94.0	-0.0 ± 1.4		
				1000	94.0	Ref		
	2000 94.2							
V)/		4000	94.3	-0.8 ± 1.6		
				8000	91.3	-3.0 +2.1: -3.1		
Certificate N	o.: XP321-055-CC00	11			+A) *L	Page 3 of 4		
Room 422,Le	ader In Justrial Centre,	57-59 Au Pui Wan S	Street , Fo Ta	n, Shatin, N.T., H	long Kong			
	V	Tel: (852) 2668		Fax:(852)2				
	I have a second	e: http://www.aa-lal	h nom E	mail: inquiry@a	a lab aam			

(A+A)*L Acoustics and Air Testing Laboratory Co. Ltd. 聲學及空氣測試實驗室有限公司

5. Calibration Results Applied

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only. All calibration points are within manufacture's specification as IEC 61672 Class 1.

Uncertainties of Applied Value:

94 dB	31.5 Hz	± 0.05
	63 Hz	± 0.05
	125 Hz	+ 0.05
	250 Hz	- 0.05
	500 Hz	± 0.05
	1000 Hz	± 0.05
	2000 Hz	± 0.05
	4000 Hz	± 0.05
	8000 Hz	± 0.10
104 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05
114 dB	1000 Hz	± 0.05

The uncertainties are evaluated for a 25% con5dence level.

Note:

The values given in this certification only related to the values measured at the time of the calibration and any uncertainties quoted will not allow for the equipment long-term drift, variations with environment I changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the calibration. (A+A)*L shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

Certificate No.: MPJ21-052/CC001	Page 4 of 4
Room 422, Leader In Ju strial Centre, 57-59 Au Pul Wan Street , Fo Tan, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong	
Tel: (852) 2668 3423 Fax:(852) 2668 6946 Homepage: http://www.aa-lab.com E-mail : inquiry@aa-lab.com	



Calibration & Test Certificate

To whom it may concern

We hereby certify that the instrument under mentioned has been certainly calibrated according to our calibration standard and the testing result in the calibration procedure has been good enough within the tolerance regulated in our specification.

Test conditions

	Class 1 Sound Level Calibrator	
Model number		
Serial number		
Temperature	25° C	
Humidity		
Date of calibra	tion 2020/12/31	

Test data

Range: 94 dB PASS Range: 114 dB PASS
Range: 114 dB PASS

Calibrator

Model	Model number	Serial number	Due date
Standard SOUND LEVEL METER	B&K 2239	181001638	JAN/30/2022
The standard generators used for ca	libration procedure a	re proofed once a vear	and can be traceable

The standard generators used for calibration procedure are proofed once a year and can be traceable to the standard authorized by public organization.



Approved by Ethan Lin, Head of Engineering Department



CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Calibration data were detailed in the continuation pages.				
Calibration result was within EUT specification.				
17-Nov-2020				

Page 1 of 2

萬儀校正中心有限公司 MaxLab Calibration Centre Limited 香港新界葵涌華星街 16-18 銀保盈工業大廈9 樓 B 室 Unit B, 9/F., Boldwin Industrial Bldg., 16-18 Wah Sing Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2116 1380 Fax: (852) 2264 6480 Email: Info@maxlab.com hk



		Ce	MLCN203081S		
Calibration Data EUT Setting	Standard Reading	EUT Error from Setting	Calibration Uncertainty	EUT Specification	
94 dB	94.0 dB	0.0 dB	0.20 dB	± 0.3 dB	
		- END -			
Calibrated By : Date :	Dan 17-Nov-20		Checked By : Date :		
				Page 2 of 2	

1



CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Certificate Informat	ion					
Date of Issue	7-Aug-2021]	Certif	icate Number	MLCN212053S	
Customer Informati	on					
Company Name		ability Consulting Lim	ited			
Address		ord Glory Plaza,				
	Nos. 37-39 Wir	ng Hing Street, an, Kowloon, HK				
	Cheung Sha wa	an, Kowioon, HK				
Equipment-under-T	est (EUT)					
Description	Acoustic Calibr	ator				
Manufacturer	Pulsar					
Model Number	105					
Serial Number	63705					
Equipment Number						
Calibration Particul						
Date of Calibration	7-Aug-2021	N / AN/2000/2 / 22 K	22			
Calibration Equipment		8) / AV200063 / 23-Ju 0) / MLEC21/05/02 / 2				
	1557(WETERS	<i>b)</i> / MilleC21/05/027 .	.0-141ay-22			
Calibration Procedure	MLCG00, MLCG15					
Calibration Conditions	Laboratory	Temperature	23 °C ± 5 °C			
		Relative Humidity	55% ± 25%			
	EUT	Stabilizing Time	Over 3 hours			
		Warm-up Time Power Supply	Not applicable			
C			Internal battery			
Calibration Results		were detailed in the c		s.		
	All calibration results were within EUT specification.					
Approved By & Date						
			1			
10.0 million (10.0 million (10			- (~ K.	0. Lo	7-Aug-2021	
Statements						
 Calibration equipment used The results on this Calibrat 					ncertainties quoted will	
not include allowance for the					ig transportation,	
 overloading, mishandling, n * MaxLab Calibration Centre 						
* The copy of this Certificate	is owned by MaxLa	b Calibration Centre Limi			produced without the	
prior written approval of M	axLab Calibration C	entre Limited.				

Page 1 of 2

ъ



		Certificate No.		MLCN212053S	
Calibration Dat	a				
EUT Setting	Standard Reading	EUT Error from Setting	Calibration Uncertainty	EUT Specification	
94 dB	93.9 dB	-0.1 dB 0.20 dB		± 0.2 dB	
		- END -			
Calibrated By : Date :	Keneth 7-Aug-21		hecked By : atc :	K.O. Lo 7-Aug-21	

Page 2 of 2



萬儀校正中心有限公司 MaxLab Calibration Centre Limited 香港新界葵涌華星街16-18 號保盈工業大厦 9 楼 B 室 Unit B, 9/F., Boldwin Industrial Bldg., 16-18 Wah Sing Street. Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2116 1380 Fax: (852) 2264 6450 Email: info@maxlab.com.hk

Appendix J The Certification of Laboratory with HOKLAS Accredited Analytical Tests



Hong Kong Accreditation Service 香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation 認可證書

This is to certify that 特此證明

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong 香港新界葵涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a 為香港認可處執行機關根據認可證詢委員會建議而接受的

> HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory 「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 – General requirements for the competence 此實驗所符合ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 – 《測試及校正實驗所能力的通用規定》所訂的要求。 of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as 獲認可進行載於香港實驗所認可計劃《認可實驗所名冊》內下述測試類別中的指定 listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of 测試或校正工作

Environmental Testing 環境測試

This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognized international Standard ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005. 本實動所乃根據公認的國際標準 ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 獲得認可。 This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory 道項證可資格深示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及實驗所質量管理關系的運作 quality management system (see joint IAF-IAC-ISO Communique). (見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可含作輻膜及實際標準化組織的融合公報)。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive 香港認可處執行機關的權限在此蓋上通用印章

CHAN Sing Sing, Terence, Executive Administrator 執行幹事 陳成城 Issue Date: 5 May 2009 簽發日期:二零零九年五月五日

Registration Number: HDKLAS 066 註冊號碼:



Date of First Registration : 15 September 1995 首次註冊日期 : 一九九五年九月十五日

This certilicate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS 本證書按照香港銀可處訂立的條款及條件發出 L 000552



Hong Kong Accreditation Service 香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation 認可證書

This is to certify that 特此證明

ACUMEN LABORATORY AND TESTING LIMITED

浩科檢測中心有限公司

Lot 12, Tam Kon Shan Road, North Tsing Yi, New Territories, Hong Kong 香港新界青衣北担杆山路12路段

has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a 在認可諮詢委員會的建議下獲香港認可處執行機關接受為

> HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory 「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2005 and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as listed in the scope of accreditation within the test category of

Environmental Testing

此實驗所符合ISO/IEC 17025:2005所訂的要求 並獲認可進行載於認可範圍內下述測試類別中的指定測試或校正工作

環境測試

This accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué). 此項 ISO/IEC 17025:2005 的認可資格證明此實驗所具備指定範疇內所須的技術能力並 實施一套實驗所質量管理體系(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive 現經香港認可處執行機關授權在此蓋上香港認可處的印章

WONG Wang-wan, Executive Administrator 執行幹事 黃宏華 Issue Date : 16 July 2014 簽發日期 : 二零一四年七月十六日

Registration Number : HOKLAS 241 註冊號碼:


This certificate is issued subject to the terms and conditions laid down by HKAS 本證書按照言港認可處訂立的條款及條件發出



Date of First Registration : 16 July 2014 首次註冊日期:二零一四年七月十六日

L 001195

Appendix K Location Plan of Noise and Air Quality Monitoring Station



Appendix L Monitoring Data (Air Monitoring)

Location: Monitoring date: Parameter : Other Factors Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) (W-A1) 2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021 TSP 1-hour Nearby traffic

		$\mu g/m^3$)			
Date	Weather	Start Time	1 st Hour (μg/m ³)	2 nd Hour (μg/m ³)	3 rd Hour (μg/m ³)
02/11/2021	Fine	13:06	65	67	61
08/11/2021	Sunny	9:38	61	59	68
13/11/2021	Sunny	10:13	59	62	66
19/11/2021	Sunny	13:35	45	49	46
25/11/2021	Sunny	14:06	46	52	56
Min	imum: 45 μg/m	3		Maximum: 68µg	/m ³

Location: Monitoring date: Parameter : Other Factors Man Cheong Building (W-A6) 2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021 TSP 1-hour Nearby traffic

	1-hour TSP (μg/m ³)												
Date	Weather	Start Time	1 st Hour (μg/m ³)	2 nd Hour (μg/m ³)	3 rd Hour (μg/m ³)								
02/11/2021	Fine	9:24	67	61	64								
08/11/2021	Sunny	11:19	68	73	65								
13/11/2021	Sunny	9:34	62	69	70								
19/11/2021	Sunny	9:32	56	50	52								
25/11/2021	Sunny	13:31	71	68	64								
1	Minimum: 50	µg/m ³		Maximum: 73 µg	$/m^3$								




Figure 1: Graphical Illustration of Measured 1-hour TSP (μ g/m³) Levels at W-A1

Contract No. HY/2014/08 Environmental Monitoring & Auditing




Figure 2: Graphical Illustration of Measured 1-hour TSP (μ g/m³) Levels at W-A6

Location: Monitoring date: Parameter : Other Factors Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) (W-A1) 2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021 TSP 24-hour Nearby traffic

										Date of C	alibration:	26-Oct-21		Slope =	4.1439
										Calibration	n due date:	10-Nov-21		Intercept =	33.8158
										Date of C	alibration:	13-Nov-21		Slope =	3.2610
										Calibration	n due date:	28-Nov-21		Intercept =	35.6531
Start Date	Weather Condition	Elapse Time			Chart Reading			Avg Air Temp	Avg Atmospheric Pressure		Standard Air Volume	Filter Weight (g)		Particulate weight	Conc.
		Initial	Final	Actual (min)	Min	Max	Avg	(°C)	(hPa)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³)	Initial	Final	(g)	(µg/m ³)
2/11/2021	Fine	5853.9	5877.9	1440.0	40	41	40.5	25.1	1018.0	1.66	2386	2.7876	2.8865	0.0989	41
8/11/2021	Sunny	5877.9	5901.9	1440.0	39	40	39.5	20.1	1017.2	1.49	2143	2.8027	2.8808	0.0781	36
13/11/2021	Sunny	5902.1	5926.1	1440.0	38	39	38.5	22.3	1018.1	0.98	1416	2.7851	2.8691	0.0840	59
19/11/2021	Sunny	5926.1	5950.1	1440.0	39	40	39.5	23.0	1012.2	1.21	1739	2.7813	2.8657	0.0844	49
25/11/2021	Sunny	5950.1	5974.1	1440.0	40	40	40.0	20.6	1018.2	1.48	2138	2.7389	2.8823	0.1434	67
										Maximum:	67	µg/m ³	Minimum:	36	µg/m ³

Location:	Man Cheong Building (W-A6)
Monitoring date:	2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021
Parameter :	TSP 24-hour
Other Factors	Nearby traffic

										Date of C	Calibration:	26-Oct-21		Slope =	1.8980
										Calibratio	n due date:	10-Nov-21		Intercept =	37.1018
										Date of C	Calibration:	13-Nov-21		Slope =	1.6444
										Calibratio	n due date:	28-Nov-21		Intercept =	38.6058
Start Date Weather		Elapse Time			Chart Reading			Avg Air Temp	Avg Atmospheric Pressure	Flow Rate	Flow Rate Standard Volume		l Filter Weight (g)		Conc.
	Condition	Initial	Final	Actual (min)	Min	Max	Avg	(°C)	(hPa)	(m ³ /min)	(m ³)	Initial	Final	(g)	$(\mu g/m^3)$
2/11/2021	Fine	5443.1	5467.1	1440.00	40	40	40.0	25.1	1018.0	1.62	2336	2.7995	2.9188	0.1193	51
8/11/2021	Sunny	5467.1	5491.1	1440.00	39	40	39.5	20.1	1017.2	1.52	2187	2.7806	2.8854	0.1048	48
13/11/2021	Sunny	5491.4	5515.4	1440.00	40	40	40.0	22.3	1018.1	1.08	1549	2.7749	2.8784	0.1035	67
19/11/2021	Sunny	5515.4	5539.4	1440.00	41	41	41.0	23.0	1012.2	1.51	2180	2.7876	2.9078	0.1202	55
25/11/2021	Sunny	5539.4	5563.4	1440.00	40	40	40.0	20.6	1018.2	1.15	1655	2.7749	2.9291	0.1542	93
										Maximum	: 93	µg/m ³	Minimum:	48	µg/m ³




Figure 3: Graphical Illustration of Measured 24-hour TSP (µg/m³) Levels at W-A1






Figure 4: Graphical Illustration of Measured 24-hour TSP (µg/m³) Levels at W-A6




Wind direction data for 2, 3, 8, 9, 13, 14, 19, 20, 25 and 26 November 2021


















Acuity Sustainability Consulting Ltd.






Wind speed data for 2, 3, 8, 9, 13, 14, 19, 20, 25 and 26 November 2021





Acuity Sustainability Consulting Ltd.



Appendix M Monitoring Data (Noise)

Location:

Yau Ma Tei Catholic Primary School (Hoi Wang Road) (W-N1A)

Monitoring date:	2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021
Parameter :	L_{eq}, L_{10}, L_{90}
Other Factors	Nearby traffic

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L90	Wind speed (m/s)
02/11/2021	Fine	13:08	-	13:38	60.1	62.2	57.5	3.6
08/11/2021	Sunny	9:41	-	10:11	59.1	61.8	56.8	3.3
13/11/2021	Sunny	10:16	-	10:46	60.3	62.4	57.0	2.5
19/11/2021	Sunny	13:40	-	14:10	61.3	61.9	60.6	3.3
25/11/2021	Sunny	14:07	-	14:37	60.1	63.4	58.7	3.1

Remark: Examination was held from 18/11 to 23/11 at W-N1A so the limit level on 19/11 was 65 dB(A). Limit levels

for other monitoring days were 70 dB(A).

Location:

Hydan Place (W-N18)

Monitoring date:2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021Parameter : L_{eq}, L_{10}, L_{90} Other FactorsNearby traffic

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	Wind speed (m/s)
02/11/2021	Fine	9:49	-	10:19	71.1	74.0	68.1	4.4
08/11/2021	Sunny	11:56	-	12:26	70.1	73.7	65.9	4.3
13/11/2021	Sunny	11:46	-	12:16	69.6	73.6	65.9	2.8
19/11/2021	Sunny	10:05	-	10:35	71.2	73.2	68.3	2.2
25/11/2021	Sunny	14:52	-	15:22	68.7	73.7	67.5	3.1

Location:

Prosperous Garden Block 1 (W-N25A) 2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021 L_{eq}, L₁₀, L₉₀

Nearby traffic

Monitoring date: Parameter : Other Factors

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	Wind speed (m/s)
02/11/2021	Fine	10:28	-	10:58	70.2	73.6	65.2	3.9
08/11/2021	Sunny	11:13	-	11:43	69.8	73.2	64.6	4.7
13/11/2021	Sunny	10:59	-	11:29	70.5	72.8	66.4	3.3
19/11/2021	Sunny	11:40	-	12:10	73.1	74.2	71.6	3.3
25/11/2021	Sunny	14:52	-	15:22	71.2	74.4	69.2	2.8

Location:	The Coronation Tower 1 (W-P11)
Monitoring date: Parameter :	2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021 Leg, L ₁₀ , L ₉₀
Other Factors	Nearby traffic

Noise Monitoring data:

Date	Weather	Start Time	-	End Time	L _{eq}	L ₁₀	L ₉₀	Wind speed (m/s)
02/11/2021	Fine	11:14	-	11:44	69.5	72.5	65.2	3.6
08/11/2021	Sunny	10:27	-	10:57	68.3	70.4	65.5	3.3
13/11/2021	Sunny	12:38	-	13:08	68.9	71.5	65.6	1.9
19/11/2021	Sunny	11:40	-	13:30	68.9	71.0	65.3	3.3
25/11/2021	Sunny	16:29	-	16:59	69.1	72.9	66.8	1.7





Figure 1: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-N1A






Figure 2: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-N18




Figure 3: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-N25A




Figure 4: Graphical Illustration of Measured Noise Levels at W-P11

Appendix N Waste Flow Table

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table Name of Department: <u>Highways Department</u>

Contract No. / Works Order No.: <u>HY/2014/08</u>

 Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for November 2021
 (All quantities shall be rounded off to 1 decimal place.)

			thly			
Month	(a)=(b)+(c)+(d)+(e)+(f)+(g)+(h)+(i)+(j)+(k) Total Quantity Generated	(b) Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	(c) Reused in the Contract	(d) Reused in other Projects	(e) Disposed of as Public Fill	(f) Imported Fill
	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)	(in 'tonnes)
Jan-21	9229.4	856.6	0.0	0.0	8262.2	0.0
Feb-21	4559.4	152.4	0.0	0.0	4274.6	0.0
Mar-21	9144.7	1428.5	0.0	0.0	6820.2	297.7
Apr-21	6996.2	408.7	0.0	0.0	6261.1	280.0
May-21	9933.1	446.4	0.0	0.0	8482.7	961.9
Jun-21	9814.2	386.5	0.0	0.0	9397.2	0.0
Sub-total	49677.0	3679.1	0.0	0.0	43498.0	1539.6
Jul-21	13470.0	620.1	0.0	0.0	12821.0	0.0
Aug-21	8059.6	116.7	0.0	0.0	7889.7	3.3
Sep-21	8683.5	673.1	0.0	676.2	7284.0	0.0
Oct-21	10240.4	406.4	0.0	468.5	9326.2	0.0
Nov-21	9758.0	668.8	962.8	0.0	8106.1	0.0
Dec-21						
Total	99888.5	6164.2	962.8	1144.7	88925.0	1542.9
2018	51057.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	47715.6	2877.4
2019	112830.1	541.0	1523.8	13525.0	93132.9	3155.6
2020	193021.9	58778.0	1205.6	19108.6	112556.8	0.0
Accumulated Total	456798.4	65483.2	3692.2	33778.3	342330.3	7575.9

		Actual Quantities of <u>Non-inert</u> Construction Waste Generated Monthly								
Month	(g) (h) (i) (c) (c) (h) (i) (h) (i) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c		j) al Waste	(k) Others, e.g. General Refuse disposed at Landfill						
	(in '(000kg)	(in '0	00kg)	(in '00	00kg)	(in '0	00kg)	(in 'tonnes)	
	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	
Jan-21	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.7	0.0	89.3	
Feb-21	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	131.7	
Mar-21	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	596.6	
Apr-21	15.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.6	
May-21	14.9	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.9	
Jun-21	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	29.5	
Sub-total	30.2	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.7	0.0	904.6	
Jul-21	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	
Aug-21	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.3	
Sep-21	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.1	
Oct-21	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.7	
Nov-21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.4	
Dec-21										
Total	30.2	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.02	0.0	22.7	0.0	1091.7	
2018	28.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	434.5	
2019	0.0	9.1	3.4	6.8	0.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	927.3	
2020	69.2	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.02	0.0	25.3	0.0	1275.1	
Accumulated Total	127.8	9.1	11.1	6.8	0.04	0.0	55.2	0.0	3728.6	

Appendix O Statistics on Complaint, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

	Statistical Summar	ry of Exceedances					
	Air (Quality					
Location	Action Level Limit Level Tota						
W-A1	0	0	0				
W-A6	0	0	0				
	N	oise					
Location	Action Level	Limit Level	Total				
W-N1A	0	0	0				
W-N18	0	0	0				
W-N25A	1^	0	0				
W-P11	0	0	0				

Remark: ^W-N25A was the nearest NSR to Zones B2 and C.

Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

Donorting Doriod		Environmental Complaint Sta	tistics
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
1 November2021- 30 November 2021	1	28	Construction Noise

Statistical Summary of Environmental Non-compliance

Donorting Doriod	En	vironmental Non-compliance S	Statistics
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
1 November2021- 30 November 2021	0	1	N/A

Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

Departing Deriod		Environmental Summons Stat	tistics
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Details
1 November2021- 30 November 2021	0	1	N/A

Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

Departing Deriod	Environmental Prosecution Statistics			
Reporting Period	Frequency	Cumulative	Details	
1 November2021-	0	0	N/A	
30 November 2021				

Appendix P Monitoring Schedule of the Coming Month

Contract No. HY/2014/08 Environmental Monitoring & Auditing

Impact Monitoring Schedule for YMTE							
Dec-21							
Sun	Mon	Тие		Thur	Fri	Sat	
			1	2	3	4	
5	6	7 Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A	1 Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A 8	4	3 10	4	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
	Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A					Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A	
19				Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A	24	25	
26	27	28	29 Impact Air monitoring for W-A6 &W-A1 Noise monitoring for W-N1A, W-P11,W-N18 & W-N25A	30	31		

Appendix Q Interim Report for the Complaint


Contract No. HY/2014/08 Central Kowloon Route, Yau Ma Tei East Section

Build King - SKEC Joint Venture

Interim Report on Environmental Complaint

Project	Central Kowloon Route, Yau Ma Tei East Section				
Complaint Code	EC029-CKRYMTE20211125 001				
Complaint description	Mr. Lee contacted RE(PR) via Whatsapp and referred the complaint from the residents of Prosperous Garden, "What time is legal for construction works. They were bothered by the drilling and piling works started before 8:30 a.m. And their children had been awake from the noise." "李先生WhatsApp 短訊 RE(PR),指有 駭發花園居民向他查詢「路政署幾點開工喺合法。這幾天末夠 8:30 就已經在鑽地打樁,非常困擾。家中有小孩每天				
	都被吵醒。」"				
Parameter	Construction Noise				
Investigation finding	The complainant made the complaint on 25 November 2021 and was concerned about the noise impacts generated from drilling and piling activities. As noted from the Contractor, no drilling and piling activities were carried out nearby Prosperous Garden. Therefore, it is suspected that the construction noise was generated from the nearest construction site at Gascoigne Road Flyover (GRF) near Old Police Station. The major and noisiest work was planter removal work on 25 November 2021 in this area.				
	Impact noise monitoring had been carried out at the nearest monitoring location at Prosperous Garden(W-N25A) on 2, 8, 13, 19 and 25 November 2021 and the monitoring results at W-N25A were 70.2, 69.8, 70.5, 73.1 and 71.2 dB(A) in $L_{eq30min}$, which were all below the limit level of 75dB(A) in $L_{eq30min}$. As similar works had been conducted in November 2021, it was believed that the construction noise generated during daytime in November 2021 did not exceed the noise limit level as stipulated under EM&A manual. In addition, no environmental deficiency regarding construction noise at construction site at GRF near Old Police Station was observed during weekly site inspection conducted on 4, 11 (with IEC), 18 and 25 November 2021.				
	As the construction noise generated during daytime in November 2021 did not exceed the noise limit level as stipulated under EM&A manual, it is concluded that no non-compliance to the EM&A requirement of the Project regarding noise impacts from construction works.				
Actions taken / to be taken	RE(PR) explained to Mr. Lee about the non-restricted hour of construction works is 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. under the Noise Control Ordinance. After negotiating with the contractor, the noisiest works will be started after 9 a.m. as far as possible. As the planter removal works had completed, therefore, the complaint investigation is closed.				
Remarks	 PME used in construction site at GRF near Old Police Station on 25 November 2021 during daytime: Excavator x1 				
	Construction works carried out in construction site at GRF near Old Police Station on 25 November 2021 during daytime: • Planter removal works				
	Works locations:				
D 11	(next page.)				
Prepared by	Katrina Chui				
Date	1 December 2021				

Page 1 of 2



Page 2 of 2